

Section Four

Qualitative Feedback Report

FINAL REPORT

SUBMITTED TO

A-WORLD CONSULTING

Independent Compilation of Views and Reporting for
Enhancing Land Supply Strategy:
Reclamation outside Victoria Harbour and
Rock Cavern Development
Qualitative Feedback



Social Sciences Research Centre
The University of Hong Kong

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Co-organized by Development Bureau, the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD), and the Planning Department, a public engagement exercise on the Enhancing Land Supply Strategy: Reclamation outside Victoria Harbour and Rock Cavern Development¹¹ was launched on 10 November 2011. A-World Consulting (AWC) has been commissioned to provide consultancy service on the public engagement exercises. The Public Engagement consists of two stages. The Social Sciences Research Centre of The University of Hong Kong (“SSRC”), an analysis and reporting consultant with strong experience in research and public survey has been appointed to collect, compile, analyse and report views of various stakeholder groups, including those of the general public, expressed during the Stage 1 Public Engagement which ended on 31 March 2012 after one-month extension.

1.2 RESEARCH TEAM

The team is led by Professor John Bacon-Shone, with assistance from Ms. Linda Cho, processing and analysis by Mr. Kelvin Ng, Mr. Thomas Lo, Mr. Dicky Yip, Ms. Hung Fong Fong, Ms. Lee Yiu Ling, Mr. Danny Chan and logistics support from all the staff of the Social Sciences Research Centre.

1.3 FEEDBACK PROCESS IN STAGE 1

The Feedback Process started on 10th November 2011, with all feedback collected before the closing date 31st March 2012 included in the analysis.

Public engagement events were held to invite comments. All participation in the engagement events during the engagement process was recorded and summarized as an important source of feedback by stakeholders. In addition, the public was encouraged to make written submissions, provide written comments on feedback questionnaires, and express views at on-line forum.

1.4 TYPES OF FEEDBACK RECEIVED

¹¹ More background information can be obtained via the study website “<http://www.landsupply.hk/>”

Feedback from the public was also received through focus group discussions, topical discussions, public forums, Legislative Council meeting, District Council meetings, written submissions, signature campaigns, opinion surveys, on-line forums, comment forms, comments given via government hotline 1823, written comments given in the feedback questionnaire and printed media. By agreement, the HKUSSRC did not attend any of the events or meetings during the public consultation in Stage 1 instead all events or meetings were summarized by A-World Consulting for the analysis in this report.

1.5 ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK

The feedback was analyzed using qualitative methods and the framework can be found in Chapter 2 (Please refer to **Appendix S: Public View Analytical Framework**). The results can be found in this report.

CHAPTER TWO

FINDINGS OF FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

2.1 VIEWS COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

A total of 31,881 comments were received by 31 March 2012, when the Stage 1 public engagement process ended. These comments were received through thirteen channels as summarized below:

1. Focus Group (FG): 3 Focus Group conducted by the A-World Consulting and held on 15, 22 and 29 November 2011. The analysis of this channel is based on the summaries given by A-World Consulting which indicated whether an issue was mentioned, regardless of the number of times it was mentioned. **(Appendix B)**
2. Topical Discussion (TD): 4 Topical Discussions held on 3rd December 2011, 10th December 2011, 7th January 2012 and 14th January 2012. The analysis of this channel is based on the summaries given by A-World Consulting which indicated whether an issue was mentioned, regardless of the number of times it was mentioned. **(Appendix B)**
3. Public Forum (PF): 3 Public Forums held on 4th February 2012, 11th February 2012 and 18th February 2012. The analysis of this channel is based on the summaries given by A-World Consulting which indicated whether an issue was mentioned, regardless of the number of times it was mentioned. **(Appendix B)**
4. Legislative Council (LC): 46 written submissions were made to the Legislative Council for Special Meeting of Panel on Development held on 10th March 2012 **(Appendix I)**.
5. Event Summary (ES): 54 meetings or briefings with the District Council, groups, institutes, residents, political parties and alliances **(Appendix C)**.
6. Written submission (WS): 2,376 written submissions including 106 letters received from students in Ma On Shan Methodist Primary and 635 letters received from students in Kennedy School either by soft or hard copies **(Appendix F)**. All written submissions were sent by letters, fax or email to the Government with personal or association identification.
7. Feedback Questionnaire (FQ): 973 written comments received from on-line feedback questionnaires (909) and paper feedback questionnaires (64), which has provided a space for the respondents to submit written comment in addition to completing the feedback questionnaire.
8. Printed Media (N): 432 news articles, 27 columns and 9 editorials summaries from 24 newspapers and magazines **(Appendix G)**.

9. On-line Forum (OF): 1,826 valid posts/ comments from the official website “Enhancing Land Supply Strategy” (**Appendix D**).
10. Comment Sheet (CS): 388 comment sheets received from the participants of public forums and other engagement events (**Appendix E**).
11. Signature Campaign/ Petition (SCP): 25,769 signatures, petition letters and emails received from 33 signature campaigns or petitions (**Appendix H**).

There is no clear distinction between signature campaigns, petition letters and any other form of letter or emails. Comments were all counted based on the number of verifiable supporters that could be identified by contact information such as address or full name which was readable in either English or Chinese. Participants should not be anonymous and should have used a standard form. Table 2.1 (**Appendix H**) shows the abbreviation and item number of the SCP which would be referred to in tables of SCP and footnotes.

Table 2.1: Abbreviation of the signature campaign/petition (SCP)

| Item No. | Abbreviation | Details | Nature |
|----------|--|--|--------------------|
| 01 | Objection via emails | Objection to reclamation via emails | Petition |
| 02 | Green Sense | 環保觸覺：反對填海簽名表格 | Signature campaign |
| 03 | Objection to Wu Kai Sha | Opposing to reclamation of the natural beach at Wu Kai Sha | Petition |
| 04 | Objection to Wu Kai Sha Beach | 反對政府選址在烏溪沙海灘填海 | Petition |
| 05 | Office of TONG Ka Wah: Wu Kai Sha | 立法會湯家驊辦事處：「反對烏溪沙填海」意見書 | Petition |
| 06 | Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside | 海栢花園業戶：反對於馬鞍山烏溪沙天然海灘及其灣畔區域進行填海造地 | Petition |
| 07 | Bayshore Towers Signature Campaign: Wu Kai Sha | 海栢花園業主委員會：海栢花園反對「烏溪沙海灘填海」聯署簽名 | Signature campaign |
| 08 | Bayshore Towers Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha | 海栢花園業主委員會：海栢花園問卷回條 | Signature campaign |
| 09 | Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha | 浸信會呂明才中學：「反對烏溪沙填海聯署活動」 | Signature campaign |

| | | | |
|----|--|--|--------------------|
| 10 | Villa Oceania: Wu Kai Sha | 海典居：反對烏溪沙被選為 25 個建議填海之一的「簽名運動」 | Signature campaign |
| 11 | Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha | 民主黨沙田黨團：反對烏溪沙填海簽名行動 | Signature campaign |
| 12 | Office of LEE Chi Wing: Wu Kai Sha | 李子榮區議員辦事處：「反對烏溪沙旁填海」簽名運動 | Signature campaign |
| 13 | Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha | 雅典居業主委員會：反對政府在烏溪沙填海 | Signature campaign |
| 14 | Lake Silver: Wu Kai Sha | 銀湖、天峰第一屆業主委員會：有關反對於烏溪沙海灘進行填海工程 | Signature campaign |
| 15 | Waterside: Wu Kai Sha | 雅濤居：反對烏溪沙填海「簽名運動」事宜 | Signature campaign |
| 16 | Royal Sea Crest: Tsing Lung Tau | 帝華軒第十三屆業主委員會：表達對「優化土地供應策略」意見事宜 | Signature campaign |
| 17 | Sea Crest Villa: Tsing Lung Tau | 深井浪翠園物業管理公司：「優化土地供應策略」- 青龍頭填海計劃事宜 | Signature Campaign |
| 18 | Hongkong Garden: Tsing Lung Tau | 豪景花園業主立案法團：豪景花園居民呈交「青龍頭填海計劃工程」居民意向問卷調查及簽名表 | Signature campaign |
| 19 | Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27 | 春和海景花園：反對青山灣(屯門27區)填海工程書 | Signature campaign |
| 20 | Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27 | 碧翠花園：反對青山灣(屯門27區)填海工程意見書(一人一信) | Petition |
| 21 | Handford Garden: Tuen Mun Area 27 | 恆福花園：還我合理規劃 反對無理填海 反對擬在屯門27區進行的填海計劃 | Petition |

| | | | |
|----|--|---|--------------------|
| 22 | Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27 | 雅翠苑：反對青山灣填海及保護其風貌完整 | Petition |
| 23 | Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour | 大埔滘道松濤閣：松濤閣反對大埔吐露港填海 | Petition |
| 24 | Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour | 大埔環保會：大埔填海工程之市民意見 | Petition |
| 25 | Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour | 三門仔漁邨及聯益漁邨：有關政府建議的二十五幅填海用地 | Signature campaign |
| 26 | Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O | 維景灣畔業主委員會：反將軍澳 131 區填海 | Petition |
| 27 | Objection to Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate | 堅決反對在將軍澳工業邨對出海面(即 C13 填海選址)填海 | Petition |
| 28 | Bel-Air: Lamma Island North | Bel-Air Owners' Committee : Objection to Proposed Land Reclamation at Lamma Island North | Signature campaign |
| 29 | Bel-Air: Sandy Bay | Bel-Air Owners' Committee : Objection to Proposed Land Reclamation at Sandy Bay | Signature campaign |
| 30 | Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis | Land Supply Strategy: Objections to reclamation at Sandy Bay, artificial island north of Lamma, and redevelopment of the water reservoir at Mt. Davis | Petition |
| 31 | West Island School community: Sandy Bay | West Island School community : Sandy Bay Reclamation Objection Letter | Petition |
| 32 | Pokfulam Residents Alliance: Sandy Bay | Pokfulam Residents Alliance : I object to reclamation at Sandy Bay | Signature campaign |
| 33 | Peng Chau Reclamation Concern Group: Peng Chau | 坪洲填海關注組：反對坪洲-喜靈洲連島方案 | Signature campaign |

12. Other Channels (OC): 4 summaries transferred from government hotline 1823 which had received verbal submissions from the public.

13. Opinion Survey (OS): 3 opinion surveys were included:

- Residential survey conducted by The Incorporated Owners of Mountain Shore
- Survey conducted in Tai Po District by Dr. Lau Chee Sing, DC Member
- Survey presented by Tai Po Environmental Association

The survey results were included as single submissions as the participants were anonymous so that verification of the participants was not possible. They are coded on the basis of any view expressed by a simple majority (more than 50%).

The qualitative analysis used the nVivo software and is based on a framework in **Appendix S** that was developed by the SSRC to reflect all the issues covered in the consultation document, and then extended to cover all the other issues raised in the qualitative materials collected during the consultation.

The overall table of counts for issues for which qualitative comments were given is provided for each section in this chapter, broken down by the thirteen channels. Comments submitted by different people are counted multiple times, even if the comments were identical, regardless of the channel of submission, on the grounds that this reflects the number of people or organizations who wish to make that specific comment. No distinction is made between people and organizations, as it is often unclear whether a comment represents a personal or institutional perspective.

As individual identities were not cross referenced across channels, comments submitted through multiple channels are counted separately through each channel.

Discussion is provided for any issue with at least fifty comments provided, including a quote from a typical comment submitted.

2.2 ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE ENHANCING LAND SUPPLY STRATEGY

Table 2.2a: Attitude towards the Enhancing Land Supply Strategy and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|------|-------|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| 1. Explore potential land resources and establish land reserve | 58 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.1 Support | 52 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.2 Against | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation outside Victoria Harbour | 1709 | 10 | 4 | 15 | 19 | 9 | 217 | 691 | 0 | 591 | 96 | 16 | 40 | 1 |
| 2.1 Support | 530 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 11 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 383 | 56 | 2 | 22 | 0 |
| 2.2 Against | 1179 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 184 | 691 | 0 | 208 | 40 | 14 | 18 | 1 |
| 3. Increasing Land Supply through Rock Cavern Development | 178 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 68 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 36 | 6 | 12 | 0 |
| 3.1 Support | 155 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 34 | 5 | 11 | 0 |
| 3.2 Against` | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at specific locations | 28363 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 27 | 1924 | 25196 | 3 | 950 | 32 | 165 | 36 | 4 |
| 4.1 Support | 273 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 53 | 30 | 0 | 150 | 4 | 14 | 12 | 2 |
| 4.2 Against | 28090 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 24 | 1871 | 25166 | 3 | 800 | 28 | 151 | 24 | 2 |
| 5. Other Increasing Land Supply Scenarios | 101 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 0 |
| 5.1 Redeveloping the old or abandoned buildings or lands | 43 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 5.1.1 Support | 42 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 5.1.2 Against | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2 Re-use of ex-quarry sites | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.1 Support | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.2 Against | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7 Others | 47 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 0 |
| 5.7.1 Develop the existing 23 country parks | 28 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 0 |
| 5.7.1.1 Support | 21 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 0 |
| 5.7.1.2 Against | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7.2 Buy the land from the PLA garrison or use other land to exchange for the military camps in the urban areas | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7.2.1 Support | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7.3 Develop the undeveloped land of Sheung Shui and New Territories West | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7.3.1 Support | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7.4 Develop underground city | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7.4.1 Support | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7.5 Increase land supply by hill leveling | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.7.5.1 Support | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Table 2.2b: Attitude towards the Enhancing Land Supply Strategy and SCP

| | Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|----|----|----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|
| | Count | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| 2. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation outside Victoria Harbour | 691 | 178 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.2 Against | 691 | 178 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at SPECIFIC location | 25196 | 0 | 0 | 5884 | 1298 | 133 | 260 | 128 | 208 | 230 | 492 | 1121 | 664 | 1049 | 1694 | 404 | 216 | 349 | 1043 | 116 | 1467 | 33 | 12 | 23 | 442 | 160 | 2211 | 118 | 928 | 847 | 766 | 389 | 1968 | 543 |
| 4.1 Support | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 25166 | 0 | 0 | 5884 | 1298 | 133 | 260 | 128 | 208 | 230 | 492 | 1121 | 664 | 1049 | 1694 | 404 | 215 | 349 | 1034 | 116 | 1467 | 33 | 12 | 23 | 422 | 160 | 2211 | 118 | 928 | 847 | 766 | 389 | 1968 | 543 |

As seen in Table 2.2a, there were 28,090 comments including 25,166 comments from SCP¹² (Table 2.2b), 1,871 comments from WS, 800 comments from OF, 151 comments from FQ and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at specific locations (e.g. “I oppose reclamation of the natural beach at Wu Kai Sha.”).

There were 1,179 comments including 691 comments from SCP¹³ (Table 2.2b), 208 comments from OF, 184 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation outside Victoria Harbour (e.g. “I oppose reclamation to provide land.”).

There were 530 comments including 383 comments from OF, 56 comments from CS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that supported increasing

¹² The 25,166 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”
- 1,968 comments from SCP32 “Pokfulam Residents Alliance: Sandy Bay”
- 1,694 comments from SCP14 “Lake Silver: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 1,298 comments from SCP04 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha Beach”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 “Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,034 comments from SCP18 “Hongkong Garden: Tsing Lung Tau”
- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 664 comments from SCP12 “Office of LEE Chi Wing: Wu Kai Sha”
- 543 comments from SCP33 “Peng Chau Reclamation Concern Group: Peng Chau”
- 492 comments from SCP10 “Villa Oceania: Wu Kai Sha”
- 422 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 404 comments from SCP15 “Waterside: Wu Kai Sha”
- 389 comments from SCP31 “West Island School community: Sandy Bay”
- 349 comments from SCP17 “Sea Crest Villa: Tsing Lung Tau”
- 260 comments from SCP06 “Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside”
- 230 comments from SCP09 “Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha”
- 215 comments from SCP16 “Royal Sea Crest: Tsing Lung Tau”
- 208 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”
- 133 comments from SCP05 “Office of TONG Ka Wah: Wu Kai Sha”
- 128 comments from SCP07 “Bayshore Towers: Signature Campaign: Wu Kai Sha”
- 118 comments from SCP27 “Objection to Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun 27”
- 33 comments from SCP21 “Handford Garden: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 23 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”

¹³ The 691 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 395 comments from SCP02 “Green Sense”
- 178 comments from SCP01 “Objection via emails”
- 118 comments from SCP27 “Objection to Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate”

land supply through reclamation outside Victoria Harbour (e.g. “Land reclamation is the best and direct method to increase land supply.”).

There were 273 comments including 150 comments from OF, 53 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments supported increasing land supply through reclamation at specific locations (e.g. “I strongly support reclamation at Wu Kai Sha.”).

There were 155 comments including 55 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that supported increasing land supply through rock cavern development (e.g. “Rock caverns should be considered as they have limited environmental impacts.”).

There were 101 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments about other increasing land supply scenarios (e.g. “To increase land supply the first priority must be the rezoning and resumption of inefficiently and inappropriately used land in the New Territories, and the development of new towns including in the north-west New Territories.”).

There were 52 comments and no channels with at least fifty comments that supported to explore potential land resources and establish a land reserve (e.g. “.... agreed that continuing land supply is needed for Hong Kong’s development, warning that the shortage of land supply is current.”).

Table 2.3a: Attitude towards the Enhancing Land Supply Strategy - Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at specific locations and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Hei Ling Chau West | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at South Cheung Chau | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| 4.1 Support | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| 4.2 Against | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Peng Chau-Hei Ling Chau | 627 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 70 | 543 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 607 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 54 | 543 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Beaufort Island | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Siu Ho Wan | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Sunny Bay | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4.1 Support | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4.2 Against | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Shuen Wan | 650 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 625 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 630 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 605 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tai Po Industrial Estate | 636 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 625 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 605 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tai Po Kau | 636 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 625 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 614 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 605 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tseung Kwan O Area 131 | 2233 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2211 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 4.1 Support | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.2 Against | 2229 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2211 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|------|-------|----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tseung Kwan O East (C13) | 2357 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 2329 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 2354 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 2329 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tuen Mun Area 40 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) | 1734 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 1628 | 0 | 44 | 4 | 21 | 3 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 1718 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 26 | 1628 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 15 | 2 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Silver Mine Bay North | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 4.1 Support | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4.2 Against | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Silver Mine Bay South | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4.1 Support | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4.2 Against | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Wu Kai Sha | 14797 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 365 | 13725 | 0 | 645 | 3 | 34 | 13 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 14723 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 363 | 13725 | 0 | 574 | 3 | 34 | 12 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Ma Liu Shui | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 160 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 160 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Shek O Quarry | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Sandy Bay | 5246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1256 | 3970 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 5232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1251 | 3970 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Lung Kwu Tan | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tai Lam Chung | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at South West Tsing Yi | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tsing Lung Tau | 1746 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 1608 | 0 | 79 | 17 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 1732 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 1598 | 0 | 78 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Lamma North | 1876 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 163 | 1706 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 1868 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 158 | 1706 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Lamma Quarry | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.3b: Attitude towards the Enhancing Land Supply Strategy - Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at specific locations and SCP

| | Total count | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Peng Chau-Hei Ling Chau | 543 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 543 |
| 4.2 Against | 543 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 543 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Shuen Wan | 625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 442 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 605 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 422 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tai Po Industrial Estate | 625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 442 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 605 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 422 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tai Po Kau | 625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 442 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 605 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 422 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tseung Kwan O Area 131 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tseung Kwan O East (C13) | 2329 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 2329 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
|---|----------------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) | 1628 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 33 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 1628 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 33 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Wu Kai Sha | 13725 | 5884 | 1298 | 133 | 260 | 128 | 208 | 230 | 492 | 1121 | 664 | 1049 | 1694 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 13725 | 5884 | 1298 | 133 | 260 | 128 | 208 | 230 | 492 | 1121 | 664 | 1049 | 1694 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Ma Liu Shui | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Sandy Bay | 3970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 847 | 766 | 389 | 1968 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 3970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 847 | 766 | 389 | 1968 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Tsing Lung Tau | 1608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 349 | 1043 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1 Support | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 1598 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 349 | 1034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Increasing Land Supply through Reclamation at Lamma North | 1706 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 0 | 766 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 4.2 Against | 1706 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 0 | 766 | 0 | 12 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.3a, there were 14,723 comments including 13,725 comments from SCP¹⁴ (Table 2.3b), 574 comments from OF, 363 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Wu Kai Sha (e.g. “I oppose reclamation of the natural beach at Wu Kai Sha”). In contrast, 74 comments including 71 comments from OF and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments supported increasing land supply through reclamation at Wu Kai Sha (e.g. “Support reclamation at Wu Kai Sha”).

There were 5,232 comments including 3,970 comments from SCP¹⁵ (Table 2.3b), 1,251 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Sandy Bay (e.g. “I would like to object in the strongest possible terms to Sandy Bay being on the list as a site for future reclamation.”).

There were 2,354 comments including 2,329 comments from SCP¹⁶ and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tseung Kwan O East (C13) (e.g. “Government is launching Enhancing Land Supply Strategy which suggests reclamation to increase

¹⁴ The 13,725 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,694 comments from SCP14 “Lake Silver: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,298 comments from SCP04 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha Beach”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 “Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha”
- 664 comments from SCP12 “Office of LEE Chi Wing: Wu Kai Sha”
- 492 comments from SCP10 “Villa Oceania: Wu Kai Sha”
- 404 comments from SCP15 “Waterside: Wu Kai Sha”
- 260 comments from SCP06 “Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside”
- 230 comments from SCP09 “Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha”
- 208 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”
- 133 comments from SCP05 “Office of TONG Ka Wah: Wu Kai Sha”
- 128 comments from SCP07 “Bayshore Towers Signature Campaign: Wu Kai Sha”

¹⁵ The 3,970 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,968 comments from SCP32 “Pokfulam Residents Alliance: Sandy Bay”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 389 comments from SCP31 “West Island School community: Sandy Bay”

¹⁶ The 2,329 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”
- 118 comments from SCP27 “Objection to Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate”

land including Tseung Kwan O Area 131 and Tseung Kwan O East. I strongly oppose this.”).

There were 2,229 comments including 2,211 comments from SCP¹⁷ (Table 2.3b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tseung Kwan O Area 131 (e.g. “I strongly object to any plan of further reclamation at Tseung Kwan O.”).

There were 1,868 comments including 1,706 comments from SCP¹⁸ (Table 2.3b), 158 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Lamma North (e.g. “We are writing to express our strongest objection against the proposed reclaiming land at Lamma Island North.”).

There were 1,732 comments including 1,598 comments from SCP¹⁹ (Table 2.3b), 78 comments from OF and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tsing Lung Tau (e.g. “I sent a letter to your department to oppose reclamation at Tsing Lung Tau.”).

There were 1,718 comments including 1,628 comments from SCP²⁰ (Table 2.3b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) (e.g. “We object to the proposed reclamation plan in Tuen Mun Area 27.”).

¹⁷ The 2,211 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”

¹⁸ The 1,706 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 12 comments from SCP32 “Pokfulam Residents Alliance: Sandy Bay”

¹⁹ The 1,598 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,034 comments from SCP18 “Hongkong Garden: Tsing Lung Tau”
- 349 comments from SCP17 “Sea Crest Villa: Tsing Lung Tau”
- 215 comments from SCP16 “Royal Sea Crest: Tsing Lung Tau”

²⁰ The 1,628 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 33 comments from SCP21 “Handford Garden: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”

There were 630 comments including 605 comments from SCP²¹ (Table 2.3b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Shuen Wan (e.g. “I oppose the suggestion of reclamation at Shuen Wan.”).

There were 615 comments including 605 comments from SCP²² (Table 2.3b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tai Po Industrial Estate (e.g. “I oppose the suggestion of reclamation at Tai Po Industrial Estate.”).

There were 614 comments including 605 comments from SCP²³ and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tai Po Kau (e.g. “I oppose the suggestion of reclamation at Tai Po Kau.”).

There were 607 comments including 543 comments from SCP²⁴, 54 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Peng Chau-Hei Ling Chau (e.g. “The Enhancing Land Supply Strategy that the government announced lately will make Peng Chau a totally different place. We deeply worried about it and hope the Government can be more cautious about the plan.”).

²¹ The 605 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 422 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”
- 23 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”

²² The 605 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 422 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”
- 23 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”

²³ The 605 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 422 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”
- 23 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”

²⁴ The 543 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 543 comments from SCP33 “Peng Chau Reclamation Concern Group: Peng Chau”

There were 185 comments including 160 comments from SCP²⁵ and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Ma Liu Shui (e.g. “They set against the five reclamation sites in Tolo Harbour.”).

²⁵ The 160 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

2.3 LAND SUPPLY IN HONG KONG

Table 2.4: Land Supply in Hong Kong- Should continue to require more land supply to meet future demand and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| 1.01 Should continue to require more land supply to meet future demand | 426 | 28 | 11 | 18 | 24 | 7 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 193 | 22 | 18 | 55 | 0 |
| 1.01.01 Should have a long term land supply strategy | 36 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 0 |
| 1.01.02 To cooperate with the government's policy or long term strategy | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.01.03 To help development of Hong Kong | 44 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 1.01.04 To meet future housing demand | 89 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 56 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 1.01.05 Need to increase land supply because of population growth | 45 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 1.01.06 Need to increase land supply because of smaller household size | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.01.07 Need to increase land supply to promote Hong Kong's economic growth | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| 1.01.08 Need to increase land supply to improve quality of life | 40 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 1.01.09 Need to increase land supply to mitigate the stressful land supply situation | 84 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 0 |
| 1.01.09.1 Help to lower the property price | 51 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 0 |
| 1.01.10 Need to increase land supply for commercial use | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.4, there were 89 comments including 56 comments from OF and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that Hong Kong should continue to require more land supply to meet future housing demand (e.g. “We need land to build new housing and commercial area.”).

There were 51 comments and no channels with at least fifty comments that Hong Kong needs to increase land supply to help to lower the property price (e.g. “Support reclamation to lower house price.”).

There were 51 comments and no channels with at least fifty comments that Hong Kong needs to increase land supply to promote Hong Kong's economic growth (e.g. “Reclamation lowers the rents of commercial buildings to attract foreign investment so as to enhance the competitiveness of Hong Kong.”).

Table 2.5a: Land Supply in Hong Kong- Views on changing the land use of buildings or lands and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| 1.02 Views on changing the land use of buildings or lands | 3445 | 17 | 3 | 12 | 8 | 19 | 330 | 2703 | 0 | 119 | 20 | 155 | 59 | 0 |
| 1.02.01 Redeveloping old or abandoned buildings | 304 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 74 | 128 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 47 | 12 | 0 |
| 1.02.01.1 Use vacant schools for government buildings | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.01.2 Release the industrial lands for housing sites | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 119 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.01.3 Redevelop the vacant industrial buildings | 63 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 18 | 6 | 0 |
| 1.02.01.4 Re-use the vacant government buildings | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.02.02 Redevelop old or abandoned lands | 410 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 121 | 134 | 0 | 48 | 8 | 58 | 23 | 0 |
| 1.02.02.01 Develop the abandoned land in the New Territories | 135 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 51 | 13 | 0 | 29 | 6 | 21 | 10 | 0 |
| 1.02.02.02 Use the abandoned agricultural land in the New Territories | 185 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 118 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 0 |
| 1.02.02.03 Development the abandoned land in the urban areas | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.03 Resumption of land | 33 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.02.03.1 Land resumption involves politics | 10 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.02.04 Should fully utilize the existing inefficiently used land rather than reclamation | 2659 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 109 | 2441 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 28 | 22 | 0 |
| 1.02.05 Transfer cemeteries from the urban area to islands | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.06 Re-develop the buildings that are under the existing Government Staff House Ownership Scheme (GSHOS) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.07 Resuming the military lands for further development | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.08 Release lands by | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| transferring container terminals to reclamation sites or underutilized lands | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.02.09 Redevelopment or rezoning of land is time consuming | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.10 Increase land supply by developing landfills | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.11 Change in land use involves property ownership issues | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.12 Should increase land supply by asking the real estate developers to release lands | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.13 Recovery of the container yard in the rural areas for low density development | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.14 Should increase land supply by rezoning land | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.5b: Land Supply in Hong Kong- Views on changing the land use of buildings
or lands and SCP

| | Total count | 30 | 08 | 23 | 24 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 33 |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1.02 Views on changing the land use of buildings or lands | 2703 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 354 | 928 | 847 | 543 |
| 1.02.01 Redeveloping old or abandoned buildings | 128 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.01.2 Release the industrial lands for housing sites | 119 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.01.3 Redevelop the vacant industrial buildings | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.02 Redevelop old or abandoned lands | 134 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.02.01 Develop the abandoned land in the New Territories | 13 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.02.02 Use the abandoned agricultural land in the New Territories | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.02.04 Should fully utilize the existing inefficiently used land rather than reclamation | 2441 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 118 | 928 | 847 | 543 |

As seen in Table 2.5a, there were 2,659 comments including 2,441 comments from SCP²⁶ (Table 2.5b), 109 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that Hong Kong should fully utilize existing inefficiently used land rather than reclamation (e.g. “The Government shall explore any unused or improperly allocated land resource as the first priority, while land reclamation shall NOT simply be an alternative way to increase land supply as what Government excuses by the ‘six land supply option’ saying.”).

There were 185 comments including 118 comments from SCP²⁷ (Table 2.5b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about using the abandoned agricultural land in the New Territories (e.g. “There are so many options in land development...utilizing abandoned farmland in the New Territories is one of them.”).

There were 147 comments including 119 comments from SCP²⁸ (Table 2.5b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the release of industrial land for housing sites (e.g. “There are lots of methods developing land like urban renewal and changing land use from industrial to commercial or residential.”).

There were 135 comments including 51 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the development of abandoned land in the New Territories (e.g. “There are so many idle land in the New Territories or outlying islands that the Government can consider for development rather than reclaiming land on Hong Kong island which is the most costly option and also harmful to residents living in close proximity to the reclamation site.”).

²⁶ The 2,441 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 543 comments from SCP33 “Peng Chau Reclamation Concern Group: Peng Chau”
- 118 comments from SCP27 “Objection to Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate”
- 2 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
-
- 2 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis” 1
- comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”

²⁷ The 118 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 118 comments from SCP27 “Objection to Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate”

²⁸ The 119 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 118 comments from SCP27 “Objection to Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate”
- 1 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”

There were 63 comments about redeveloping vacant industrial buildings and no channels with at least fifty comments (e.g. “Old or abandoned industrial buildings can be re-planned and/or re-built to fulfill demand for lands in certain developed districts.”).

Table 2.6a: Land Supply in Hong Kong- Comments concerning the land or population policy of Hong Kong and Comments concerning land use of increased lands and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1.03 Comments concerning the land or population policy of Hong Kong | 1787 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 102 | 1509 | 0 | 46 | 9 | 70 | 19 | 2 |
| 1.03.1 Should improve the land policy | 56 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 1 |
| 1.03.2 Should improve the population policy | 1265 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 57 | 1114 | 0 | 30 | 6 | 41 | 2 | 1 |
| 1.03.3 Land policy should be made in accordance with population policy | 413 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 395 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.03.4 Should estimate the impact of mobile population on land use policy | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.03.5 Should reduce land demand by controlling population growth | 49 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.04 Comments concerning land use of increased lands | 43 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 0 |
| 1.04.1 Increased lands should be used for housing purposes | 23 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.04.2 Should not specify land use, lack of flexibility | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.04.3 Should have plans for usage of new land | 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

Table 2.6b: Land Supply in Hong Kong- Comments concerning the land or population policy of Hong Kong and Comments concerning land use of increased lands and SCP

| | Total Count | 01 | 02 | 30 | 08 | 23 | 24 | 31 | 33 |
|--|----------------|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|
| 1.03 Comments concerning the land or population policy of Hong Kong | 1509 | 178 | 395 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 389 | 543 |
| 1.03.2 Should improve the population policy | 1114 | 178 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 389 | 543 |
| 1.03.3 Land policy should be made in accordance with population policy | 395 | 0 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.04 Comments concerning land use of increased lands | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.04.3 Should have plans for usage of new land | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.6a, there were 1,265 comments of concern including 1,114 comments from SCP²⁹ (Table 2.6b), 57 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the population policy should be improved (e.g. “Before going any further at all with future reclamation anywhere in Hong Kong, the Government should be addressing the issue of population and adopting proper policies on population growth and urban population density.”).

There were 413 comments of concern including 395 comments from SCP³⁰ (Table 2.6b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the land policy should be made in accordance with population policy (e.g. “should have population policy first, then reasonably control the population, and then estimate the amount of land needed in the future.”).

There were 56 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments that the land policy should be improved (e.g. “There is a large reserve of land resources in the urban and rural areas which can be released. This requires Government to adopt an open, positive, sensible and realistic planning and land policy to enable the market to respond positively.”).

²⁹ The 1,114 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 543 comments from SCP33 “Peng Chau Reclamation Concern Group: Peng Chau”
- 389 comments from SCP31 “West Island School community: Sandy Bay”
- 178 comments from SCP01 “Objection via emails”
- 2 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 1 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 1 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”

³⁰ The 395 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 395 comments from SCP02 “Green Sense”

Table 2.7a: Land Supply in Hong Kong- No need to increase land supply and other comments related to land supply issue and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1.05 No need to increase land supply | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 67 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 52 | 2 | 0 |
| 1.05.01 Excessive country parks | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.05.02 Excessive land for housing | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.05.03 Sufficient land supply | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.05.04 Low land usage | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.05.05 Should develop the existing land | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.05.06 There are many vacant housing flats | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.05.07 Should look at reducing demand rather than increasing supply | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.06 Other comments related to land supply issue | 321 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 55 | 179 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 32 | 20 | 0 |
| 1.06.01 Land supply strategy should follow the sustainable principles | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.06.02 Should first solve the problem of speculation in property market caused by Mainland people in Hong Kong | 228 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 179 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 0 |
| 1.06.03 Low rise village house is a waste of land resources | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.06.04 Should review the amount of land reserve regularly | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.06.05 The land supply strategy should achieve a balance among social, environmental and economic needs | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 0 |
| 1.06.06 Should consider other existing land supply option apart from the existing means of enhancing land supply | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1.06.07 Should have a mixed approach of six options to provide land for future development | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 1.06.08 Land supply by redevelopment may not meet the demand | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.7b: Land Supply in Hong Kong- No need to increase land supply and other comments related to land supply issue and SCP

| | Total Count | 01 | 30 | 08 | 24 |
|---|----------------|-----|----|----|----|
| 1.05 No need to increase land supply | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 1.05.03 Sufficient land supply | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 1.05.06 There are many vacant housing flats | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.06 Other comments related to land supply issue | 179 | 178 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.06.02 Should first solve the problem of speculation in property market caused by Mainland people in Hong Kong | 179 | 178 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.7a, there were 228 comments including 179 comments from SCP³¹ (Table 2.7b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the government should first solve the problem of speculation in property market caused by Mainland people in Hong Kong (e.g. “In order to better utilize land in Hong Kong, the government should prevent the Mainland people from coming to Hong Kong to buy house.”).

There were 150 comments including 67 comments from WS, 52 comments from FQ and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that there was no need to increase land supply in Hong Kong (e.g. “There are still plenty of land in Hong Kong and Kowloon and no need to reclaim land from harbour.”).

³¹ The 179 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 178 comments from SCP01 “Objection via emails”
- 1 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”

2.4 RECLAMATION

Table 2.8a: Reclamation – Feasibility and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 2.01 Feasibility | 9816 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 62 | 9640 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 15 | 32 | 0 |
| 2.01.01 Accessibility | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.01.02 Manpower management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.01.03 Reclamation is the best solution of increasing land supply in this stage | 30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 |
| 2.01.04 Reclamation is a constructive way of long term investment | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.01.05 Reclamation is a sustainable development approach | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 2.01.06 Should increase land supply by reclamation as soon as possible | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.01.07 Should have a well planned land supply strategy rather than reclamation | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.01.08 Reclamation is a destructive way to increase land supply | 2349 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 2318 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 2.01.09 Long construction time | 27 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 2.01.10 Compared to developing the New Territories, reclamation has relatively less impact on the environment, landscape or monuments | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.01.11 Reclamation has relatively less impact towards stakeholders | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.01.12 Compared to other methods to increase land supply, reclamation is relatively easy to handle | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.01.13 Reclamation will affect Hong Kong's general image | 7355 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 7321 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.01.14 Comparing with other increasing land method, reclamation is not the only way | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |

Table 2.8b: Reclamation – Feasibility and SCP

| | Total Count | 03 | 06 | 07 | 13 | 24 | 28 | 29 | 33 |
|--|----------------|------|-----|-----|------|----|-----|-----|-----|
| 2.01 Feasibility | 9640 | 5884 | 260 | 128 | 1049 | 1 | 928 | 847 | 543 |
| 2.01.08 Reclamation is a destructive way to increase land supply | 2318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 847 | 543 |
| 2.01.09 Long construction time | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.01.13 Reclamation will affect Hong Kong's general image | 7321 | 5884 | 260 | 128 | 1049 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.8a, there were 7,355 comments of concern including 7,321 comments from SCP³² (Table 2.8b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how reclamation will affect Hong Kong's general image (e.g. "Right behind the beach is the Wu Kai Sha Youth Camp. The camp is used by Hong Kong residents as well as various international organizations. Reclamation will destroy the beauty for which the camp is renowned and jeopardize Hong Kong's image.").

There were 2,349 comments of concern including 2,318 comments from SCP³³ (Table 2.8b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that reclamation is a destructive way to increase land supply (e.g. "Any land reclamation works will undoubtedly cause irreversible damage to environment and marine ecology. The Government shall explore any unused or improperly allocated land resource as the first priority, while land reclamation shall NOT simply be an alternative way to increase land supply as what Government excuses by the "six land supply options" saying.").

³² The 7,321 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 "Objection to Wu Kai Sha"
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 "Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha"
- 260 comments from SCP06 "Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside"
- 128 comments from SCP07 "Bayshore Towers: Signature Campaign: Wu Kai Sha"

³³ The 2,318 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 928 comments from SCP28 "Bel-Air: Lamma Island North"
- 847 comments from SCP29 "Bel-Air: Sandy Bay"
- 543 comments from SCP33 "Peng Chau Reclamation Concern Group: Peng Chau"

Table 2.9a: Reclamation – Environmental Concerns and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|-----|------|-------|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| 2.02 Environmental concerns | 11473 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 19 | 14 | 653 | 10405 | 0 | 128 | 30 | 121 | 87 | 0 |
| 2.02.01 Living | 13452 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 309 | 895 | 0 | 28 | 13 | 50 | 32 | 0 | |
| 2.02.01.1 Impact on the biodiversity and ecosystem | 13121 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 293 | 894 | 0 | 24 | 12 | 44 | 30 | 0 | |
| 2.02.01.1.1 Marine living things will lose their habitat | 1291 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 99 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 0 | |
| 2.02.01.2 Should protect Hong Kong's ecosystem | 191 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | |
| 2.02.01.3 Should protect wildlife or rare species in the rivers or coastal waters | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | |
| 2.02.02 Physical | 1780 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 94 | 6 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 15 | 18 | 0 | |
| 2.02.02.1 Reclamation will affect water flow | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| 2.02.02.2 Reclamation will affect the water quality | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | |
| 2.02.02.3 Impact on the landscape | 360 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 0 | |
| 2.02.02.4 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | |
| 2.02.02.5 Reclamation to hotter temperature | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| 2.02.03 General | 99501 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 250 | 9504 | 0 | 66 | 11 | 56 | 37 | 0 | |
| 2.02.03.1 Reclamation will damage the natural environment | 80820 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 205 | 7729 | 0 | 58 | 7 | 45 | 28 | 0 | |
| 2.02.03.2 Use new technology for reclamation to reduce the impact on the environment | 191 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | |
| 2.02.03.3 Should prepare a better EIA | 120 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | |
| 2.02.03.4 The conservation planning should be submitted | 30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| 2.02.03.5 Should not treat the ocean as a place for dumping waste | 18170 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 31 | 1775 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | |
| 2.02.03.6 Comparing with other increasing land methods, reclamation is not environmentally friendly | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| 2.02.03.7 Comparing with other increasing land | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-------|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|
| 2.02 Environmental concerns | 11473 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 19 | 14 | 653 | 10405 | 0 | 128 | 30 | 121 | 87 | 0 |
| methods, reclamation is the least sustainable method | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2.9b: Reclamation – Environmental Concerns and SCP

| | Total Count | 01 | 02 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 08 | 09 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 33 |
|--|----------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|------|----|-----|------|-----|------|-----|-----|
| 2.02 Environmental concerns | 10405 | 178 | 395 | 1298 | 133 | 260 | 31 | 230 | 116 | 1467 | 13 | 137 | 2211 | 928 | 1694 | 771 | 543 |
| 2.02.01 Living | 895 | 178 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2.02.01.1 Impact on the biodiversity and ecosystem | 894 | 178 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 2.02.01.1.1 Marine living things will lose their habitat | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.02.01.2 Should protect Hong Kong's ecosystem | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.02.02 Physical | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.02.02.2 Reclamation will affect the water quality | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.02.02.3 Impact on the landscape | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.02.02.4 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.02.03 General | 9504 | 0 | 0 | 1298 | 133 | 0 | 19 | 230 | 116 | 1467 | 12 | 87 | 2211 | 928 | 1694 | 766 | 543 |
| 2.02.03.1 Reclamation will damage the natural environment | 7729 | 0 | 0 | 1298 | 133 | 0 | 19 | 230 | 116 | 1467 | 12 | 87 | 2211 | 0 | 847 | 766 | 543 |
| 2.02.03.5 Should not treat the ocean as a place for dumping waste | 1775 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 847 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.9a, there were 8,082 comments of concern including 7,729 comments from SCP³⁴ (Table 2.9b), 205 comments from WS, 58 comments from OF and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how reclamation will damage the natural environment (e.g. “Any land reclamation works will undoubtedly cause irreversible damage to environment.”).

There were 1,817 comments including 1,775 comments from SCP³⁵ (Table 2.9b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the oceans should not be treated as a place for dumping waste (e.g. “Government explains that one of the advantages of reclamation is to re-use public fill, rocks and sand excavated from the on-going civil or tunneling works in Hong Kong, instead of barging to Mainland China. However, it is obviously an excuse for the Government to grant a convenient and legal way to carry out dumping activity on the sea.”).

Among those 1,312 comments of concern including 894 comments from SCP³⁶ (Table 2.9b), 293 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the impact on the biodiversity and ecosystem (e.g. “In order to avoid damaging the marine ecology, we object to reclamation.”), there were 129 comments of concern including 99 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that marine living things will lose their habitat (e.g. “Second, reclamation will pollute the harbour, and destroy the habitat of the marine lives”).

There were 85 comments of concern including 66 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that reclamation will affect the water quality (e.g. “Reclamation will create sea water pollution.”).

³⁴ The 7,729 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”
- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 1,298 comments from SCP04 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha Beach”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 543 comments from SCP33 “Peng Chau Reclamation Concern Group: Peng Chau”
- 230 comments from SCP09 “Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha”
- 133 comments from SCP05 “Office of TONG Ka Wah: Wu Kai Sha”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun 27”
- 87 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 18 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”

³⁵ The 1,775 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”

³⁶ The 894 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 395 comments from SCP02 “Green Sense”
- 260 comments from SCP06 “Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside”
- 178 comments from SCP01 “Objection via emails”
- 47 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 10 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 4 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”

Table 2.10a: Reclamation - Proposed land uses and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 2.03 Proposed land uses | 238 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 16 | 26 | 15 | 0 |
| 2.03.01 Housing | 67 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| 2.03.01.1 Home Ownership Scheme | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.03.01.2 Provide housing for the residents under the urban renewal scheme | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.03.01.3 Public housing | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.03.01.4 Private Housing | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.02 Recreational facilities | 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.03.02.1 Cycling track | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.02.2 Thematic parks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.02.3 Waterfront promenade | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.02.4 Sports centers | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.02.5 Libraries | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.02.6 Artificial beach | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.03 Commercial use and office buildings | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.03.03.1 Exhibition centers | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.03.2 Hotels | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.03.04 Infrastructure | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.03.04.1 Airport | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.04.2 Highways | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.05 Unspecified land uses | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.06 Others | 28 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.06.1 Should build low-rise buildings in the new reclamation site | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.06.2 Community leisure and cultural facilities | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.06.3 School buildings | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.06.4 Hospitals | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.06.5 Landfills | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.06.6 Container terminals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.07 Land usage that should be avoided | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 2.03.07.1 Luxurious apartment | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.03.08 Comments concerning land uses | 45 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| 2.03.08.1 Government should be the one that in charge of the development of the reclamation site | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.03.08.2 Should have a clear plan for what the reclamation site will be used for | 36 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 2.03.08.3 Should have a better plan for what the land will be used for before selecting where to reclaim | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Table 2.10b: Reclamation - Proposed land uses and SCP

| | Total Count | 24 |
|----------------------------|----------------|----|
| 2.03 Proposed land uses | 1 | 1 |
| 2.03.01 Housing | 1 | 1 |
| 01.1 Home Ownership Scheme | 1 | 1 |

As seen in Table 2.10a, there were 67 comments and no channels with at least fifty comments that proposed the new reclamation land should be used for housing (e.g. “Support reclamation in the southwest part of Hong Kong Island and Cyberport to build lots of public housing estates.”).

There were 53 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments that the usage of the new reclamation land should avoid luxury apartments (e.g. “To address that issue, we need to bear in mind that we are talking providing more land for the masses rather than providing more land for luxury residential development which would imply low density development in desired residential area.”).

Table 2.11a: Reclamation - Reasons for supporting and against specific locations and concerning the reclamation types and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|------|-------|----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| 2.04.1 Reasons for supporting specific reclamation sites | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.1.02 Smaller impact on environment | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against specific locations | 23506 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 1092 | 22137 | 0 | 159 | 18 | 42 | 34 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 3365 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 85 | 3263 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 1597 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 371 | 1175 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 9225 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 196 | 8939 | 0 | 60 | 6 | 18 | 3 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 227 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 38 | 160 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 6619 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 206 | 6369 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.08 Narrow the channel | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2332 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 80 | 2228 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 2.04.3 Comments concerning the reclamation types | 122 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 23 | 13 | 1 |
| 2.04.3.1 Objection to reclaim at natural shoreline | 29 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.04.3.2 Objection to artificial island | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| 2.04.3.3 Support reclamation by the method of artificial island | 45 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 |
| 2.04.3.4 Support reclamation by the method of connecting islands | 21 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.3.5 Objection to connecting islands | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.11b: Reclamation - Reasons for supporting and against specific locations and concerning the reclamation types and SCP

| | Total Count | 03 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 11 | 13 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 32 |
|---|-------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|------|----|----|----|-----|------|-----|------|----|------|
| 2.04 Concerns towards specific reclamation sites | 22137 | 5884 | 266 | 260 | 128 | 234 | 230 | 2242 | 1049 | 116 | 1467 | 14 | 14 | 24 | 320 | 4422 | 928 | 2541 | 30 | 1968 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against SPECIFIC reclamation sites | 22137 | 5884 | 266 | 260 | 128 | 234 | 230 | 2242 | 1049 | 116 | 1467 | 14 | 14 | 24 | 320 | 4422 | 928 | 2541 | 30 | 1968 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 3263 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1467 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 847 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 1175 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 847 | 17 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 8939 | 5884 | 133 | 260 | 128 | 11 | 230 | 1121 | 1049 | 116 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 6369 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 1121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 847 | 9 | 1968 |
| 2.04.2.08 Narrow the channel | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.11a, there were 9,225 comments of concern including 8,939 comments from SCP³⁷ (Table 2.11b), 196 comments from WS, 60 comments from OF and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the reclamation will cause damage to beaches (e.g. “Wu Kai Sha Beach ... is the only natural beach in Shatin district. ... Reclamation will rob not only Shatin but the whole of Hong Kong of this rare and precious natural coastal area.”).

There were 6,619 of concern including 6,369 comments from SCP³⁸ (Table 2.11b), 206 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about destruction of the natural or the existing shoreline (e.g. “Further reclamation at Tseung Kwan O will destroy precious natural shoreline.”).

There were 3,365 comments of concern including 3,263 comments from SCP³⁹ (Table 2.11b), 85 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the impact on water quality (e.g. “Sea water quality declines because of reclamation.”).

There were 2,332 comments of concern including 2,228 comments from SCP⁴⁰ (Table 2.11b), 80 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty

³⁷ The 8,939 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 “Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha”
- 260 comments from SCP06 “Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside”
- 230 comments from SCP09 “Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha”
- 133 comments from SCP05 “Office of TONG Ka Wah: Wu Kai Sha”
- 128 comments from SCP07 “Bayshore Towers: Signature Campaign: Wu Kai Sha”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun 27”
- 11 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 4 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 2 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 1 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”

³⁸ The 6,369 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”
- 1,968 comments from SCP32 “Pokfulam Residents Alliance: Sandy Bay”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 208 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 9 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 4 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 1 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”

³⁹ The 3,263 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 5 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 2 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”
- 1 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”

⁴⁰ The 2,228 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”

comments about the impact on seashore ecology (e.g. “Some rare animals like Finless Porpoises, Black Kites, Besra, Oriole and Owl had appeared in Tseung Kwan O. Seashore environment has already been affected. Further reclamation only worsens the situation.”).

There were 1,597 comments of concern including 1,175 comments from SCP⁴¹ (Table 2.11b), 371 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the marine ecology will be affected (e.g. “Any land reclamation works will undoubtedly cause irreversible damage to marine ecology.”).

There were 227 comments of concern including 160 comments from SCP⁴² (Table 2.11b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how the fisheries will be affected (e.g. “Reclamation will severely affect fish breeding environment, which affects livelihoods of fishing community.”).

There were 122 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments about the reclamation types (e.g. “The bold proposal of creating an artificial island is mostly supported.”).

There were 76 comments of concern including 61 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the channel will be narrowed (e.g. “Reclamation will also narrow the route for shipping liners.”).

There were 50 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments that the reclamation will close to the main shipping routes (e.g. “Reclamation will also narrow the route for shipping liners.”).

-
- 8 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”
 - 5 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
 - 4 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”

⁴¹ The 1,175 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”
- 133 comments from SCP05 “Office of TONG Ka Wah: Wu Kai Sha”
- 17 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 8 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 5 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 4 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”
- 1 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”

⁴² The 160 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

Table 2.12a: Reclamation - Reasons that against specific locations and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Hei Ling Chau | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against South Cheung Chau | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Peng Chau-Hei Ling Chau | 44 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Beaufort Island | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Siu Ho Wan | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Sunny Bay | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Shuen Wan | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 320 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 160 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 160 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tai Po Industrial Estate | 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tai Po Kau | 327 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tseung Kwan O Area 131 | 4434 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4422 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 2218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2211 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tseung Kwan O East (C13) | 4436 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4422 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 2216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2211 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tuen Mun Area 40 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.08 Narrow the channel | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) | 1642 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 27 | 1595 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 1486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1479 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 116 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.08 Narrow the channel | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Silver Mine Bay North | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|-----|----|----|---|----|
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Wu Kai Sha | 11067 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 353 | 1058 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 26 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 393 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 293 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 9026 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 153 | 8805 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 160 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1393 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 1329 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.08 Narrow the channel | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Ma Liu Shui | 332 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 320 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 160 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Shek O Quarry | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Sandy Bay | 5017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 505 | 4509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 891 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 1034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187 | 847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 2962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 2815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.08 Narrow the channel | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Lung Kwu Tan | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tai Lam Chung | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.08 Narrow the channel | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against South West Tsing Yi | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tsing Lung Tau | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 2.04.2.08 Narrow the channel | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Lamma North | 1107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 161 | 940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.01 Affect the water flow | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 928 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03.1 Chinese white dolphin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.05 Close to main shipping routes | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.08 Narrow the channel | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Lamma Quarry | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.08 Narrow the channel | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.12b: Reclamation - Reasons that against specific locations and SCP

| | Total count | 03 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 11 | 13 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 32 |
|--|----------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|------|----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Shuen Wan | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tai Po Industrial Estate | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tai Po Kau | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tseung Kwan O Area 131 | 4422 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4422 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tseung Kwan O East (C13) | 4422 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4422 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.09 Impact of seashore ecology | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) | 1595 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 1479 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1467 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Wu Kai Sha | 10587 | 5884 | 266 | 260 | 128 | 208 | 230 | 2242 | 1049 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 293 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.04 Reclamation will cause damage to beaches | 8805 | 5884 | 133 | 260 | 128 | 0 | 230 | 1121 | 1049 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 1329 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 1121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Ma Liu Shui | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|------|---|------|
| 2.04.2.06 Affect Fishery | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Sandy Bay | 4509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2541 | 0 | 1968 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 847 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.03 Affect the marine ecology | 847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 847 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 2815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 847 | 0 | 1968 |
| 2.04.2 Reasons that against Lamma North | 940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 2.04.2.02 Affect water quality | 928 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.04.2.07 Destroy the natural or the existing shoreline | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Wu Kai Sha

As seen in Table 2.12a, There were 9,026 comments including 8,805 comments from SCP⁴³ (Table 2.12b), 153 comments from WS, 56 comments from OF and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of concerns that the reclamation will cause damage to beaches (e.g. “Wu Kai Sha Beach is the only natural beach in Shatin district. Reclamation will rob not only Shatin but the whole of Hong Kong of this rare and precious natural coastal area.”).

There were 1,393 comments including 1,329 comments from SCP⁴⁴ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of destruction of the natural or the existing shoreline (e.g. “Wu Kai Sha beach is the only natural beach in Sha Tin District. Existing shoreline is natural shoreline...If reclamation is carried out, Sha Tin and even Hong Kong people will lose this precious natural resource.”).

There were 393 comments including 293 comments from SCP⁴⁵ (Table 2.12b), 86 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because

⁴³ The 8,805 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 “Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha”
- 260 comments from SCP06 “Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside “
- 230 comments from SCP09 “Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha”
- 133 comments from SCP05 “Office of TONG Ka Wah: Wu Kai Sha”
- 128 comments from SCP07 “Bayshore Towers Signature Campaign: Wu Kai Sha”

⁴⁴ The 1,329 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 208 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”

⁴⁵ The 293 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”
- 133 comments from SCP05 “Office of TONG Ka Wah: Wu Kai Sha”

the marine ecology will be affected (e.g. “Wu Kai Sha is the only natural ecological area in Sha Tin District... If reclamation destroys environment and marine ecology, we will regret at that time.”).

There were 167 comments including 160 comments from SCP⁴⁶ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because the fishery will be affected (e.g. “The Government announced twenty-five reclamation sites for consideration including five sites located in the Tolo Harbour (They are Tai Po Kau, Tai Po Industrial Estate, Shuen Wan, Ma Liu Shui and Wu Kai Sha)...Reclamation will severely affect fish breeding environment, which affects livelihoods of fishing community.”).

There were 53 comments and no channels with at least fifty comments because of the impact on the seashore ecology (e.g. “The coastal area at Wu Kai Sha beach is rich in fauna and flora, including mangroves, trees, invertebrates of sandy and rocky shores, many species of birds, insects and butterflies. In the sea, there has been sighting and recent stranding record of Finless Porpoise – a protected fauna in Hong Kong as well as an endangered species in the world. Reclamation to the sea area will result in serious impacts to the coastal ecosystem as well as marine fauna.”).

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Sandy Bay

There were 2,962 comments including 2,815 comments from SCP⁴⁷ (Table 2.12b), 146 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of concerns about destruction of the natural or the existing shoreline (e.g. “We revealed a widespread dismay with the Government’s proposal to spoil a unique stretch of the Island’s coastline.”).

There were 1,034 comments including 847 comments from SCP⁴⁸ (Table 2.12b), 187 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because the marine ecology will be affected (e.g. “We are writing to express our strongest concern and objection against the proposal of reclaiming land at Sandy Bay...Any land reclamation works will undoubtedly cause irreversible damage to marine ecology.”).

There were 891 comments including 847 comments from SCP⁴⁹ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because the water quality will be affected (e.g. “Sandy Bay proposal aims at reclaiming a maximum of 29 hectares of land along the Sandy Bay seashore, we foresee that extensive marine works, logistic of construction and barging activities will create unavoidably marine pollutions.”).

⁴⁶ The 160 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

⁴⁷ The 2,815 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 1,968 comments from SCP32 “Pokfulam Residents Alliance: Sandy Bay”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”

⁴⁸ The 847 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”

⁴⁹ The 847 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tseung Kwan O Area 131

There were 2,218 comments including 2,211 comments from SCP⁵⁰ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of concerns about destruction of the natural or the existing shoreline (e.g. “Tseung Kwan O has excellent natural seashore and the view is beautiful... Further reclamation only worsens the situation, destroying natural environment and precious natural shoreline.”).

There were 2,212 comments including 2,211 comments from SCP⁵¹ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of the impact on the seashore ecology (e.g. “Some rare animals like Finless Porpoises, Black kites, Besra, Oriole and Owl had appeared in Tseung Kwan O. Environment in Seashore has already been affected. Further reclamation only worsens the situation.”).

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tseung Kwan O East (C13)

There were 2,216 comments including 2,211 comments from SCP⁵² (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of concerns about destruction of the natural or the existing shoreline (e.g. “Tseung Kwan O has excellent natural seashore and the view is beautiful... Further reclamation only worsens the situation, destroying natural environment and precious natural shoreline.”).

There were 2,212 comments including 2,211 comments from SCP⁵³ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of the impact on the seashore ecology (e.g. “Some rare animals like Finless Porpoises, Black kites, Besra, Oriole and Owl had appeared in Tseung Kwan O. Environment in Seashore has already been affected. Further reclamation only worsens the situation.”).

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing)

There were 1,486 comments including 1,479 comments from SCP⁵⁴ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of concerns that the water quality will be affected (e.g. “Tuen Mun residents noted that the Government has

⁵⁰ The 2,211 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”

⁵¹ The 2,211 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”

⁵² The 2,211 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”

⁵³ The 2,211 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”

⁵⁴ The 1,479 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”

considered the reclamation at Tuen Mun Area 27, ...we strongly oppose this plan...reclamation will cause water pollution.”).

There were 140 comments including 116 comments from SCP⁵⁵ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because the reclamation will cause damage to beaches (e.g. “The reclamation plan of Tuen Mun Area 27 will destroy one of the three biggest beach areas in Hong Kong.”).

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Lamma North

There were 940 comments including 928 comments from SCP⁵⁶ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because the water quality will be affected (e.g. “Your Department proposes to reclaim over 100 hectares of land on the sea for the Lamma Island proposal. It is foreseeable that extensive marine works, logistic of construction and barging activities will unavoidably create marine pollutions.”).

There were 67 comments including 65 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because the marine ecology will be affected (e.g. “I write to express my upmost concern and objection against the Hong Kong Government's recent proposed "Enhancing Land Supply Strategy" for Reclamation of an artificial island at Lamma Island North...all land reclamation works will cause irreversible damage to environment especially the marine ecology.”).

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Shuen Wan

There were 168 comments including 160 comments from SCP⁵⁷ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of concerns about the impact on the marine ecology (e.g. “The Government announced twenty-five reclamation sites for consideration including five sites located in the Tolo Harbour (They are Tai Po Kau, Tai Po Industrial Estate, Shuen Wan, Ma Liu Shui and Wu Kai Sha)...the government should not establish conservation areas on one hand, and carry out reclamation to destroy marine ecosystem on the other hand.”)

There were 164 comments including 160 comments from SCP⁵⁸ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because the fishery will be affected (e.g. “The Government announced twenty-five reclamation sites for consideration including five sites located in the Tolo Harbour (They are Tai Po Kau, Tai Po Industrial

⁵⁵ The 116 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”

⁵⁶ The 928 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”

⁵⁷ The 160 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

⁵⁸ The 160 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

Estate, Shuen Wan, Ma Liu Shui and Wu Kai Sha)...Reclamation will severely affect fish breeding environment, which affects livelihoods of fishing community.”).

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Ma Liu Shui

There were 164 including 160 comments from SCP⁵⁹ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of concerns that the marine ecology will be affected (e.g. “The Government announced twenty-five reclamation sites for consideration including five sites located in the Tolo Harbour (They are Tai Po Kau, Tai Po Industrial Estate, Shuen Wan, Ma Liu Shui and Wu Kai Sha)...the government should not establish conservation areas on one hand, and carry out reclamation to destroy marine ecosystem on the other hand.”).

There were 162 comments including 160 comments from SCP⁶⁰ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because the fishery will be affected (e.g. “The Government announced twenty-five reclamation sites for consideration including five sites located in the Tolo Harbour (They are Tai Po Kau, Tai Po Industrial Estate, Shuen Wan, Ma Liu Shui and Wu Kai Sha)...Reclamation will severely affect fish breeding environment, which affects livelihoods of fishing community.”).

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tai Po Industrial Estate

There were 163 comments including 160 comments from SCP⁶¹ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of concerns that the fishery will be affected (e.g. “The Government announced twenty-five reclamation sites for consideration including five sites located in the Tolo Harbour (They are Tai Po Kau, Tai Po Industrial Estate, Shuen Wan, Ma Liu Shui and Wu Kai Sha)...Reclamation will severely affect fish breeding environment, which affects livelihoods of fishing community.”).

There were 162 comments including 160 comments from SCP⁶² (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of the impact on the marine ecology (e.g. “The Government announced twenty-five reclamation sites for consideration including five sites located in the Tolo Harbour (They are Tai Po Kau, Tai Po Industrial Estate, Shuen Wan, Ma Liu Shui and Wu Kai Sha)...the government should not establish conservation areas on one hand, and carry out reclamation to destroy marine ecosystem on the other hand.”).

⁵⁹ The 160 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

⁶⁰ The 160 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

⁶¹ The 160 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

⁶² The 160 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tai Po Kau

There were 163 comments including 160 comments from SCP⁶³ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because of concerns about the impact on the marine ecology (e.g. “The Government announced twenty-five reclamation sites for consideration including five sites located in the Tolo Harbour (They are Tai Po Kau, Tai Po Industrial Estate, Shuen Wan, Ma Liu Shui and Wu Kai Sha)...the government should not establish conservation areas on one hand, and carry out reclamation to destroy marine ecosystem on the other hand.”).

There were 161 comments including 160 comments from SCP⁶⁴ (Table 2.12b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments because the fishery will be affected (e.g. “The Government announced twenty-five reclamation sites for consideration including five sites located in the Tolo Harbour (They are Tai Po Kau, Tai Po Industrial Estate, Shuen Wan, Ma Liu Shui and Wu Kai Sha)...Reclamation will severely affect fish bleeding environment, which affects livelihoods of fishing community.”)

Comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at Tsing Lung Tau

There were 81 comments and no channels with at least fifty comments for a variety of reasons (e.g. “The plan will destroy the marine ecology of Tsing Lung Tau.”).

Table 2.13a: Reclamation – Economic Concerns, Environmental Benefits, Conditionally Support Reclamation and Other Related Issues and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 2.05 Economic concerns | 3758 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 124 | 3551 | 0 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 28 | 2 |
| 2.05.1 High construction cost | 1679 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 1596 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 16 | 2 |
| 2.05.2 Unknown cost effectiveness | 1808 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1775 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.05.3 Fishery industry will be affected | 248 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 34 | 180 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 0 |
| 2.05.4 Low construction cost | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.05.5 Reclamation will affect Hong Kong's economic development | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.06 Environmental benefits | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.06.1 Relatively more environmental friendly than developing the suburban areas | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

⁶³ The 160 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

⁶⁴ The 160 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 160 comments from SCP25 “Sam Mun Tsai and Luen Yick: Tolo Harbour”

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 2.06.2 Little reclamation does not pose loss of coastlines | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.06.3 It is more environmental friendly to keep public fill for local reclamation | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.06.4 Reclamation can create rough seawall surface to foster the growth of marine organisms | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.07 Conditionally support reclamation | 29 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 2.07.1 Agree with reclamation if detailed environmental preservation measures were provided | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.07.2 Support reclamation only if stringent environmental standards are met | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.07.3 Reclamation can only take place where the environment has been altered already | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.07.4 Reclamation outside Victoria Harbour should be considered only for public facilities | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.07.5 Support reclamation of Tsing Lung Tau only if there is a good transportation network | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.07.6 Support reclamation only for other unspecified reasons | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.08 Other related issues | 490 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 109 | 228 | 0 | 71 | 10 | 28 | 18 | 1 |
| 2.08.01 Land reclaimed from contaminated sediment cannot provide a stable substratum for housing or infrastructure development | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.08.02 Reclamation is needed for the disposal of construction material | 44 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| 2.08.03 Should address how to reduce | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| construction waste | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.08.04 Reclamation only in favour of property developers or final beneficiaries of reclamation are the property developers | 396 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 228 | 0 | 43 | 2 | 22 | 3 | 1 |
| 2.08.05 Reclaim rivers | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.08.06 A number of lands in Hong Kong was from reclamation | 37 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 |

Table 2.13b: Reclamation – Economic Concerns, Environmental Benefits, Conditionally Support Reclamation and Other Related Issues and SCP

| | Total Count | 01 | 30 | 08 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 28 | 29 |
|---|----------------|-----|----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|-----|-----|
| 2.05 Economic concerns | 3551 | 178 | 1 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 928 | 847 |
| 2.05.1 High construction cost | 1596 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.05.2 Unknown cost effectiveness | 1775 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 847 |
| 2.05.3 Fishery industry will be affected | 180 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.07 Conditionally support reclamation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.07.4 Reclamation outside Victoria Harbour should be considered only for public facilities | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.08 Other related issues | 228 | 178 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.08.04 Reclamation only in favour of property developers or final beneficiaries of reclamation are the property developers | 228 | 178 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.13a, there were 1,808 comments of concern including 1,775 comments from SCP⁶⁵ (Table 2.13b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the unknown cost effectiveness (e.g. “Hong Kong Government seems reckless without any plans of land use and cannot justify the cost effectiveness of carrying out such costly land reclamation.”).

There were 1,679 comments of concern including 1,596 comments from SCP⁶⁶ (Table 2.13b), 50 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the high construction cost (e.g. “Reclamation is a method of increasing land with very high cost in terms of the use of public fund and environmental destruction.”).

There were 396 comments of concern including 228 comments from SCP⁶⁷ (Table 2.13b), 95 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that reclamation only favours property developers or final beneficiaries of reclamation are property developers (e.g. “Land formed by reclamation enjoy seascape. It is very likely that the land will be used for luxurious housing if they are sold to real estate developers.”).

⁶⁵ The 1,775 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”

⁶⁶ The 1,596 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun 27”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 1 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”

⁶⁷ The 228 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 178 comments from SCP01 “Objection via emails”
- 33 comments from SCP21 “Handford Garden: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 14 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 2 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”

There were 248 comments of concern including 180 comments from SCP⁶⁸ (Table 2.13b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how the fishing industry will be affected (e.g. “Excessive reclamation in the past has already diminished fish stock in Hong Kong water, severely affects the livelihoods of fishing community.”).

⁶⁸ The 180 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 178 comments from SCP01 “Objection via emails”
- 2 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”

2.5 ROCK CAVERN DEVELOPMENT

Table 2.14: Rock Cavern Development - Suggestions on how to use the Rock Cavern and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 3.1. Suggestions on how to use the Rock Cavern | 152 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 5 | 5 | 26 | 0 |
| 3.1.1 Recreational and cultural facilities | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3.1.1.1 Sports centers | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.1.1.2 Library | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3.1.1.3 Sport field | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.2 Storage or archives | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3.1.2.1 Data centre or storage of computer records | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.2.2 Wine | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.2.3 Nuclear material | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.2.4 Explosive Depots | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3.1.3 Laboratory | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.4 Waste Transfer Depot | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| 3.1.5 Sewage Treatment Plant | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| 3.1.6 Other suggestions by the government | 22 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 3.1.6.1 Columbaria | 20 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 3.1.6.2 Mortuary | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.1.7 Recommended by public | 52 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.01 Electrical substations | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.02 Substations of electric company | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.03 Telecom exchange or switch | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.04 Vehicle examination centers | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.05 Car Parks | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.06 Shopping malls | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.07 Public markets | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.08 Cinema | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.09 Lecture halls or theaters | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.10 Art museum | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.11 Water pumping station | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.12 Cemeteries | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.13 Parks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.14 Residential building | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.15 Hotels | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.16 Water reservoirs | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.17 Church | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.18 Exhibition halls | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.19 Landfills | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.20 Incinerators | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.21 Jail | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.22 Schools | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.23 Hospitals | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 3.1.7.24 Other religious premises | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.25 Swimming Pool | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.7.26 Fire guard and life saving equipment | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.8 Facilities that should not be placed in rock caverns | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.1.8.1 Columbaria | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.8.2 Restaurants | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.8.3 Offices | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.8.4 Housing sites | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.1.8.5 Community facilities | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.8.6 Water reservoirs | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.1.8.7 Commercial facilities | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.14, there were 52 public comments and no channels with at least fifty comments about the recommendations about use of the Rock Caverns (e.g. “I suggest develop more rock caverns for container parking and container ports.”).

Table 2.15: Rock Cavern – Environmental and Economic Concerns and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 3.2 Environmental concerns | 44 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 3.2.1 Pollutions | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.2.1.1 Noise pollution | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.2.1.2 Carbon emission | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.2.1.3 Waste generated | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.2.2 Rock cavern has fewer impacts on the environment | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3.2.3 Cause soil and water loss or affect plant growth | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.2.4 Rock Cavern Development is not environmentally friendly because construction materials which bring pollution will be used | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.3 Economic concerns | 31 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| 3.3.1 Construction cost | 28 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| 3.3.1.1 High construction cost | 26 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| 3.3.1.1.1 Construction cost is much higher than ground facilities | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.3.2 Maintenance cost | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.3.3 Operational cost | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.3.3.1 Higher | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.15b: Rock Cavern – Environmental and Economic Concerns and SCP

| | Total Count | 23 |
|----------------------------|----------------|----|
| 3.2 Environmental concerns | 1 | 1 |
| 3.2.1 Pollutions | 1 | 1 |

As seen in Table 2.15, there were no concerns with at least fifty comments.

Table 2.16a: Rock Cavern – Feasibility, Concerns about specific sites and Other Related Issues and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 3.4 Feasibility | 64 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 0 |
| 3.4.1 Accessibility | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.4.1.1 Most rock caverns are close to urban areas, can be easily accessed | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.4.1.2 Should consider the transportation flow of the developed rock caverns | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.4.2 Manpower management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.4.3 Safety concern | 29 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| 3.4.3.1 Need to have a good ventilation | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3.4.3.2 Radon | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.4.3.3 Underground water hydrology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.4.3.4 Should have a strict criterion to ensure the rock cavern structure is safe enough | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.4.3.5 Lighting | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3.4.4 Concerning the construction work | 20 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| 3.4.4.1 Difficulties in expansion | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.4.4.2 Long construction time | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3.4.4.3 Complicated construction work | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 3.4.4.4 Easily affected by geographical | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| constraints | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.4.5 Unable to provide commercial or residential buildings directly | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.4.6 Rock cavern is a sustainable development method | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.4.7 Lands increased from rock cavern development cannot meet Hong Kong's future demand | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.5 Concerns about specific sites | 863 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 92 | 766 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.5.1 Objection to the use of Mount Davis for Rock Cavern Development | 861 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 92 | 766 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3.5.2 Object to the relocation of sewage treatment works to cavern or A Kung Kok | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.6 Other related issues | 31 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| 3.6.01 Rock Cavern development is better than Reclamation | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.6.02 Lands released after moving facilities into rock caverns should be resumed by the government | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.6.03 Reference to overseas examples, or introduce those successful examples to Hong Kong | 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 |

Table 2.16b: Rock Cavern – Feasibility, Concerns about specific sites and Other Related Issues and SCP

| | Total Count | 30 |
|---|-------------|-----|
| 3.5 Concerns about specific sites | 766 | 766 |
| 3.5.1 Objection to the use of Mount Davis for Rock Cavern Development | 766 | 766 |

As seen in Table 2.16a, there were 861 comments including 766 comments from SCP⁶⁹ (Table 2.16b), 92 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that were objections to the use of Mount Davis for Rock Cavern Development (e.g. “We object to redevelopment of the Mt. Davis Water Reservoir.”).

There were 64 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments about the feasibility of the Rock Caverns (e.g. “Proper facilities such as ventilation, fire fighting and lighting should be carefully planned to maintain high safety standard and environmental quality.”).

⁶⁹ The 766 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”

2.6 SITE SELECTION CRITERIA

Table 2.17a: Site Selection Criteria – Social Considerations and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|------|-------|----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| 4.1 Social Considerations | 50591 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 15 | 2679 | 47398 | 1 | 331 | 37 | 47 | 55 | 1 |
| 4.1.1 Impact on local community | 34512 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 10 | 1799 | 32321 | 1 | 286 | 14 | 35 | 29 | 1 |
| 4.1.1.01 The existing public facilities of local community cannot cope with the rapid population growth | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.02 Improve local urban planning | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.03 The local community cannot sustain the population growth | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 118 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.04 Impact on the residents' living quality | 16038 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 822 | 15009 | 1 | 155 | 8 | 14 | 15 | 1 |
| 4.1.1.05 Enhance the development of specific districts including South Cheung Chau, Tseung Kwan O, Tuen Mun, Wu Kai Sha, Sandy Bay, South West Tsing Yi, Tsing Lung Tau and Lamma Island | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.06 Affect local people's health due to pollutions from the reclaimed fill or construction material | 2345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 447 | 1831 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of specific district | 15069 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 404 | 14590 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 1730 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 119 | 1597 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 2529 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 2446 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.08 To avoid high density community development | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.09 Impact on Feng Shui | 814 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 766 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.10 Should reclaim | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| at somewhere that have minimal impact on the luxury apartments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.2 Meeting local needs for land | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.2.1 Provide more land for housing | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.2.2 Meet the future housing demand | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3 Impact on transportation network | 16044 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 863 | 15077 | 0 | 39 | 20 | 12 | 19 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.1 Enhance the utilization of the South Island Line | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.2 Push ahead the construction work of the North Island Line | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.3 Increase the transportation network coverage | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of specific district | 15948 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 819 | 15075 | 0 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.5 Relieve commuter congestion of specific location | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.6 Should be easily accessed | 72 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.6.1 Close to East Rail | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.6.2 Close to the new South Island line | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.6.3 Should choose somewhere that transport infrastructure is already in place | 53 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.7 Should locate at somewhere that the transportation system are not fully utilized | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.4 Open space amenity | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.1.5 Should evaluate the social cost | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.6 Too far away from the city, needs supporting infrastructure | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |

Table 2.17b: Site Selection Criteria – Social Considerations and SCP

| | Total Count | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 11 | 13 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
|--|-------------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|------|----|----|----|----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| 4.1 Social considerations | 47398 | 17652 | 3894 | 133 | 520 | 256 | 222 | 690 | 2242 | 2098 | 464 | 5868 | 66 | 49 | 11 | 18 | 4422 | 118 | 1856 | 847 | 3072 | 389 | 1968 | 543 |
| 4.1.1 Impact on local community | 32321 | 11768 | 2596 | 133 | 520 | 256 | 219 | 690 | 1121 | 2098 | 348 | 4401 | 33 | 37 | 10 | 17 | 2211 | 118 | 928 | 0 | 2306 | 0 | 1968 | 543 |
| 4.1.1.01 The existing public facilities of local community cannot cope with the rapid population growth | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.03 The local community cannot sustain the population growth | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.04 Impact on the residents' living quality | 15009 | 5884 | 1298 | 133 | 260 | 128 | 208 | 230 | 0 | 1049 | 116 | 1467 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 0 | 766 | 0 | 1968 | 543 |
| 4.1.1.06 Affect local people's health due to pollutions from the reclaimed fill or construction material | 1831 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of SPECIFIC district | 14590 | 5884 | 1298 | 0 | 260 | 128 | 8 | 230 | 1121 | 1049 | 116 | 1467 | 33 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 766 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 1597 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 2446 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.09 Impact on Feng Shui | 766 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 766 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3 Impact on transportation network | 15077 | 5884 | 1298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1121 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 33 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 2211 | 0 | 928 | 847 | 766 | 389 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of SPECIFIC district | 15075 | 5884 | 1298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1121 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 33 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 928 | 847 | 766 | 389 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.6 Should be easily accessed | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.6.3 Should choose somewhere that transport infrastructure is already in place | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.17a, there were 16,038 comments of concern including 15,009 comments from SCP⁷⁰ (Table 2.17b), 822 comments from WS, 155 comments from OF and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the impact on the residents' living quality (e.g. "Reclamation works and subsequent building projects will seriously affect the quality of life for Ma On Shan's 200,000 residents.").

There were 15,948 comments of concern including 15,075 comments from SCP⁷¹ (Table 2.17b), 819 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about additional pressure on the transportation network in specific districts (e.g. "Reclamation works and subsequent building projects will seriously affect the quality of life for Ma On Shan's 200,000 residents. Adverse impacts include traffic jams and others.").

Among those 15,069 comments of concern including 14,590 comments from SCP⁷²

⁷⁰ The 15,009 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 "Objection to Wu Kai Sha"
- 1,968 comments from SCP32 "Pokfulam Residents Alliance: Sandy Bay"
- 1,467 comments from SCP20 "Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27"
- 1,298 comments from SCP04 "Objection to Wu Kai Sha Beach"
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 "Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha"
- 928 comments from SCP28 "Bel-Air: Lamma Island North"
- 766 comments from SCP30 "Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis"
- 543 comments from SCP33 "Peng Chau Reclamation Concern Group: Peng Chau"
- 260 comments from SCP06 "Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside"
- 208 comments from SCP08 "Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha"
- 230 comments from SCP09 "Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha"
- 133 comments from SCP05 "Office of TONG Ka Wah: Wu Kai Sha"
- 128 comments from SCP07 "Bayshore Towers: Signature Campaign: Wu Kai Sha"
- 116 comments from SCP19 "Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun 27"
- 12 comments from SCP22 "Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27"
- 10 comments from SCP24 "Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour"
- 9 comments from SCP23 "Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour"
- 7 comments from SCP18 "Hongkong Garden: Tsing Lung Tau"

⁷¹ The 15,075 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 "Objection to Wu Kai Sha"
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 "Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O"
- 1,467 comments from SCP20 "Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27"
- 1,298 comments from SCP04 "Objection to Wu Kai Sha Beach"
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 "Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha"
- 928 comments from SCP28 "Bel-Air: Lamma Island North"
- 847 comments from SCP29 "Bel-Air: Sandy Bay"
- 766 comments from SCP30 "Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis"
- 389 comments from SCP31 "West Island School community: Sandy Bay"
- 116 comments from SCP19 "Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun 27"
- 33 comments from SCP21 "Handford Garden: Tuen Mun Area 27"
- 12 comments from SCP22 "Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27"
- 2 comments from SCP08 "Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha"
- 1 comments from SCP23 "Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour"

⁷² The 14,590 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 "Objection to Wu Kai Sha"
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 "Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O"
- 1,467 comments from SCP20 "Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27"
- 1,298 comments from SCP04 "Objection to Wu Kai Sha Beach"
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 "Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha"
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 "Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha"
- 766 comments from SCP30 "Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis"
- 260 comments from SCP06 "Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside"
- 230 comments from SCP09 "Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha"

(Table 2.17b), 404 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how it will affect the urban planning or facilities of specific districts (e.g. “Wu Kai Sha beach is popularly used for exercise, swimming and relaxation for families...Reclamation will rob not only Shatin but the whole of Hong Kong of this rare and precious natural coastal area.”), there were 2,529 comments including 2,446 comments from SCP⁷³ (Table 2.17b), 66 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments of concern that the ventilation will be affected due to encouraging construction of wall buildings (e.g. “If reclamation is carried out in Wu Kai Sha, high-rise buildings will be constructed. Effect of wall building and heat island effect will be resulted which affects the air flow at Ma On Shan.”) and 1,730 comments including 1,597 comments from SCP⁷⁴ (Table 2.17b), 119 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments of concern that the peaceful community will be spoiled (e.g. “Over the last few decades, the estates along Castle Peak Road-Castle Peak Bay have been known for tranquility and low density. If reclamation works start, it will spoil this peaceful community.”).

There were 2,345 comments of concern including 1,831 comments from SCP⁷⁵ (Table 2.17b), 447 comments from WS, 55 comments from OF and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that it will affect local people’s health due to pollutions from the reclaimed fill or construction material (e.g. “The reclamation works will bring noise and dust which pollutes environment, creates disturbance and damages health of residents.”).

-
- 128 comments from SCP07 “Bayshore Towers: Signature Campaign: Wu Kai Sha”
 - 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
 - 33 comments from SCP21 “Handford Garden: Tuen Mun Area 27”
 - 13 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”
 - 8 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
 - 5 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
 - 1 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”

⁷³ The 2,446 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores:Tseung Kwan O”
- 230 comments from SCP09 “Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha”
- 2 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 1 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 1 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”
- 1 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”

⁷⁴ The 1,597 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 1 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 1 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”

⁷⁵ The 1,831 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 230 comments from SCP09 “Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 4 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 2 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”

There were 814 comments of concern including 766 comments from SCP⁷⁶ (Table 2.17b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the impact on Feng Shui (e.g. “Sandy Bay reclamation will have impact on Feng Shui of cemeteries.”).

There were 136 comments of concern including 118 comments from SCP⁷⁷ (Table 2.17b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the local community cannot sustain the population growth (e.g. “Lots of land in Tseung Kwan O are under development, which enables building density, population density and strain on public facilities to continuously increase. If reclamation continues in Tseung Kwan O, government needs to re-plan the development of Tseung Kwan O area.”).

There were 78 comments of concern including 59 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the existing public facilities of local community cannot cope with the rapid population growth (e.g. “It is doubtful that the existing infrastructure within the Castle Peak Bay area will have adequate capacity to accommodate additional population growth. This problem, if not dealt with properly, will have a major adverse impact on the local residents.”).

There were 53 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments that it should choose somewhere that transport infrastructure is already in place (e.g. “To reduce the construction cost of reclamation area, priority should be given to potential sites situated close to area with mature infrastructure and network (such as Sha Tin district with proper road system, pipeline and utilities, etc).”).

Table 2.18a: Site Selection Criteria – Affect the urban planning or facilities of specific district and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of South Cheung Chau | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Peng Chau-Hei Ling Chau | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Beaufort Island | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

⁷⁶ The 766 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”

⁷⁷ The 118 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 118 comments from SCP27 “Objection to Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate”

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|--------|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Shuen Wan | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Tai Po Industrial Estate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Tai Po Kau | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Tseung Kwan O Area 131 | 2212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 2212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Tseung Kwan O East (C13) | 2214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 2211 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 2212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) | 1637 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 1628 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 1598 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 1596 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Wu Kai Sha | 10106 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 99 | 9970 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 230 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Ma Liu Shui | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Shek O Quarry | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Sandy Bay | 1016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 248 | 766 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Lung Kwu Tan | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Tai Lam Chung | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of South West Tsing Yi | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Tsing Lung Tau | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Lamma North | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Lamma Quarry | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.18b: Site Selection Criteria – Affect the urban planning or facilities of specific district and SCP

| | Total count | 03 | 04 | 06 | 07 | 09 | 11 | 13 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 26 | 30 |
|--|----------------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|------|----|----|------|-----|
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Tseung Kwan O Area 131 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Tseung Kwan O East (C13) | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) | 1628 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.1 Spoil the peaceful community | 1595 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Wu Kai Sha | 9970 | 5884 | 1298 | 260 | 128 | 230 | 1121 | 1049 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07.2 Encourage construction of wall buildings which affect ventilation | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.1.07 Affect the urban planning or facilities of Sandy Bay | 766 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 766 |

As seen in Table 2.18a, there were 10,106 comments of concern including 9,970 comments from SCP⁷⁸ (Table 2.18b), 99 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how the site selection will affect the urban planning or facilities of Wu Kai Sha (e.g. “MOS Park, the waterfront promenade, the pier and various recreational facilities together with the natural beach enhance the pleasure of living in the area. Some of these waterfront facilities have only recently been constructed in Wu Kai Sha and Ma On Shan, if reclamation goes ahead tax payers money will have been wasted, the harmony of our environment will be destroyed.”).

⁷⁸ The 9,970 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,298 comments from SCP04 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha Beach”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 “Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha”
- 260 comments from SCP06 “Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside “
- 230 comments from SCP09 “Baptist Lui Ming Choi Secondary School: Wu Kai Sha”
- 128 comments from SCP07 “Bayshore Towers Signature Campaign: Wu Kai Sha”

There were 2,214 comments of concern including 2,211 comments from SCP⁷⁹ (Table 2.18b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how the site selection will affect the urban planning or facilities of Tseung Kwan O East (e.g. “Extensive construction of wall buildings not only severely affect the southern part of Tseung Kwan O, but also affects the air quality of Hang Hau and even Tseung Kwan O as a whole.”).

There were 2,212 comments of concern including 2,211 comments from SCP⁸⁰ (Table 2.18b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how the site selection will affect the urban planning or facilities of Tseung Kwan O Area 131 (e.g. “Extensive construction of wall buildings not only severely affect the southern part of Tseung Kwan O, but also affects the air quality of Hang Hau and even Tseung Kwan O as a whole.”).

There were 1,638 comments of concern including 1,628 comments from SCP⁸¹ (Table 2.18b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how the site selection will affect the urban planning or facilities of Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) (e.g. “Over the last few decades, the estates along Castle Peak Road-Castle Peak Bay have been known for tranquility and low density. If reclamation works start, it will spoil this peaceful community.”).

There were 1,016 comments of concern including 766 comments from SCP⁸² (Table 2.18b), 248 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how the site selection will affect the urban planning or facilities of Sandy Bay (e.g. “It has impact on Sandy Bay institution and elderly homes.”).

Table 2.19a: Site Selection Criteria – Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of specific district and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | EC | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of South Cheung Chau | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Peng Chau-Hei Ling Chau | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

⁷⁹ The 2,211 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”

⁸⁰ The 2,211 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”

⁸¹ The 1,628 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 33 comments from SCP21 “Handford Garden: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”

⁸² The 766 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | EC | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Beaufort Island | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Shuen Wan | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tai Po Industrial Estate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tai Po Kau | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tseung Kwan O Area 131 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tseung Kwan O East (C13) | 2212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tuen Mun Area 40 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) | 1645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1628 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Wu Kai Sha | 8325 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 8303 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Ma Liu Shui | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Shek O Quarry | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Sandy Bay | 2696 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 690 | 2002 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Lung Kwu Tan | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tai Lam Chung | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of South West Tsing Yi | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tsing Lung Tau | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | EC | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Lamma North | 1808 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 113 | 1694 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Lamma Quarry | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.19b: Site Selection Criteria – Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of specific district and SCP

| | Total count | 03 | 04 | 11 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
|--|----------------|------|------|------|-----|------|----|----|------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tseung Kwan O Area 131 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tseung Kwan O East (C13) | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) | 1628 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 33 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Wu Kai Sha | 8303 | 5884 | 1298 | 1121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Sandy Bay | 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 847 | 766 | 389 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.1.3.4 Constitute additional pressure on transportation network of Lamma North | 1694 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 0 | 766 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.19a, there were 8,325 comments of concern including 8,303 comments from SCP⁸³ (Table 2.19b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about additional pressure on the transportation network in Wu Kai Sha (e.g. “Reclamation works and subsequent building projects will seriously affect the quality of life for Ma On Shan’s 200,000 residents. Adverse impacts include traffic jams.”).

There were 2,696 comments of concern including 2,002 comments from SCP⁸⁴ (Table 2.19b), 690 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about additional pressure on the transportation network in Sandy Bay (e.g. “Furthermore, future transportation planning to the new land must introduce new roads to link with the existing traffic network and thus more vehicles will travel to-and-from the reclaimed land, in which worsen the existing heavy traffic loading in Pokfulam district”).

There were 2,212 comments of concern including 2,211 comments from SCP⁸⁵ (Table 2.19b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about additional

⁸³ The 8,303 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,298 comments from SCP04 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha Beach”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”

⁸⁴ The 2,002 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 389 comments from SCP31 “West Island School community: Sandy Bay”

⁸⁵ The 2,211 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”

pressure on the transportation network in Tseung Kwan O East (e.g. “Tseung Kwan O is densely populated, with serious shortage in transport, community facilities and other supporting facilities. If more land is provided for housing by further reclamation, Tseung Kwan O will be over-crowded.”).

There were 2,211 comments of concern including 2,211 comments from SCP⁸⁶ (Table 2.19b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about additional pressure on the transportation network in Tseung Kwan O Area 131 (e.g. “Tseung Kwan O is densely populated, with serious shortage in transport, community facilities and other supporting facilities. If more land is provided for housing by further reclamation, Tseung Kwan O will be over-crowded.”).

There were 1,808 comments of concern including 1,694 comments from SCP⁸⁷ (Table 2.19b), 113 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about additional pressure on the transportation network in Lamma North (e.g.

“The geographic relationship between Lamma Island North and the Southern District is too close that bridges, tunnels or similar means of extensive traffic connection will mostly likely be a necessity to link with Pokfulam-Southern District of Hong Kong Island...”).

There were 1,645 comments of concern including 1,628 comments from SCP⁸⁸ (Table 2.19b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about additional pressure on the transportation network in Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) (e.g. “Reclamation plan will bring serious traffic congestion to Castle Peak Road during construction and after completion of housing development.”).

Table 2.20a: Site Selection Criteria – Environmental considerations and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|------|-------|----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| 4.2 Environmental considerations | 46580 | 13 | 9 | 16 | 22 | 12 | 1924 | 44303 | 0 | 124 | 25 | 84 | 47 | 1 |
| 4.2.01 Energy savings | 22 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.01.1 Brightness control system is a waste of energy | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.01.2 Ventilation system is a waste of | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

⁸⁶ The 2,211 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores: Tseung Kwan O”

⁸⁷ The 1,694 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”

⁸⁸ The 1,628 comments from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 33 comments from SCP21 “Handford Garden: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|------|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| energy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.01.3 Reclamation work will waste a lot of energy | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.02 Resulting in higher level of pollution | 28638 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1532 | 27019 | 0 | 41 | 3 | 25 | 5 | 1 |
| 4.2.02.1 Air pollution | 14843 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 618 | 14183 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| 4.2.02.2 Noise pollution | 13179 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 670 | 12482 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2.03 Comments concerning EIA or SEA | 20 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4.2.03.1 A comprehensive or detailed SEA or EIA should be undertaken regarding the two options of increasing land supply | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4.2.03.2 Geodiversity should be covered in EIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.03.3 Should not push forward any projects that cannot meet the statutory requirements of EIA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.04 General environmental concerns | 17821 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 340 | 17282 | 0 | 79 | 9 | 50 | 24 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.1 Damage the natural environment | 9319 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 266 | 8967 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 37 | 4 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.2 Should not cause any impacts on the natural shoreline | 76 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.3 Should keep balance between development and environment | 28 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.4 Should choose somewhere with minimum impacts on the environment or the ecology | 8387 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 46 | 8315 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.4.1 Should reclaim at somewhere that have lower ecological value | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.4.2 Reclamation sites should not be close to geoparks or country parks | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.4.3 | 8348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 8315 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Reclamation should not be carried out at somewhere close to mangrove | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.04.4.4 Reclamation sites should be chosen from degraded or non-natural areas | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.5 It is better to concentrate impacts on a smaller number of sites rather than spread them over a larger number of sites to minimize impact on environment | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.6 Should choose somewhere that has stronger water flow which helps disperse pollutants | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.05 Should evaluate the environmental cost | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4.2.06 Should not over-reclaim the sea, i.e. reclamation should not be larger than 100 ha | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.07 Mitigation Measures | 56 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 12 | 0 |
| 4.2.07.1 Recover coastline | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.2.07.2 Conducting ecological restoration | 10 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4.2.07.3 Due care should be paid to the saving of living things during the site preparation and construction process | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.07.4 Should minimize the impact on the community or the natural environment | 42 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 0 |

Table 2.20b: Site Selection Criteria – Environmental considerations and SCP

| | Total Count | 03 | 04 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 30 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 29 | 31 |
|---|-------------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|----|------|----|----|----|----|------|------|------|-----|
| 4.2 Environmental considerations | 44303 | 23536 | 1298 | 260 | 128 | 426 | 4484 | 1049 | 1694 | 52 | 2934 | 33 | 28 | 12 | 8 | 4422 | 1856 | 1694 | 389 |
| 4.2.02 Resulting in higher level of pollution | 27019 | 11768 | 1298 | 0 | 128 | 217 | 2242 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 2934 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 7 | 4422 | 1856 | 1694 | 389 |
| 4.2.02.1 Air pollution | 14183 | 5884 | 1298 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1121 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1467 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 2211 | 928 | 847 | 389 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|---|-----|---|-----|------|------|------|----|------|----|----|---|---|------|-----|-----|---|
| 4.2.02.2 Noise pollution | 12482 | 5884 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1121 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1467 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2211 | 928 | 847 | 0 |
| 4.2.04 General environmental concerns | 17282 | 11768 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 208 | 2242 | 1049 | 1694 | 14 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.1 Damage the natural environment | 8967 | 5884 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 1121 | 0 | 1694 | 14 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.4 Should choose somewhere with minimum impacts on the environment or the ecology | 8315 | 5884 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 1121 | 1049 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.04.4.3 Reclamation should not be carried out at somewhere close to mangrove | 8315 | 5884 | 0 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 1121 | 1049 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.07 Mitigation Measures | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.07.2 Conducting ecological restoration | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.2.07.4 Should minimize the impact on the community or the natural environment | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.20a, there were 14,843 comments of concern including 14,183 comments from SCP⁸⁹ (Table 2.20b), 618 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the air pollution (e.g. “It is foreseeable that extensive marine works, logistic of construction and barging activities will unavoidably create air pollution.”).

There were 13,179 comments of concern including 12,482 comments from SCP⁹⁰ (Table 2.20b), 670 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty

⁸⁹ The 14,183 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
- 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores:Tseung Kwan O”
- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 1,298 comments from SCP04 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha Beach”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 389 comments from SCP31 “West Island School community: Sandy Bay”
- 16 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 7 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 2 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 1 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”

⁹⁰ The 12,482 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

comments about the noise pollution (e.g. “It would take years to complete the reclamation and the building works, which will be accompanied by dust, noise and other environmental nuisance.”).

There were 9,319 comments of concern including 8,967 comments from SCP⁹¹ (Table 2.20b), 266 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about damage to the natural environment (e.g. “Reclamation works and subsequent building projects will seriously affect the quality of life for Ma On Shan’s 200,000 residents. Adverse impacts include environmental degradation and others.”).

There were 8,348 comments of concern including 8,315 comments from SCP⁹² (Table 2.20b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the reclamation should not be carried out somewhere close to mangrove (e.g. “Near the beach are mangrove forests, interesting geological formations, sea life like sea star and sea horse. Should reclamation proceed, the area’s unique charm will be irrevocably lost.”).

There were 76 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments that it should not cause any impacts on the natural shoreline (e.g. “Such reclamation should not cause adverse impacts on the environment particularly the natural shoreline and marine ecology.”).

There were 56 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments about the mitigation measures (e.g. “Providing that it shall have minimum disturbance to the surrounding neighborhood and environment, government shall increase the land supply through reclamation.”).

-
- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
 - 2,211 comments from SCP26 “Ocean Shores:Tseung Kwan O”
 - 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
 - 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
 - 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
 - 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
 - 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”
 - 10 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
 - 2 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”

⁹¹ The 8,967 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,694 comments from SCP14 “Lake Silver: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 208 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 33 comments from SCP21 “Handford Garden: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 14 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 9 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”
- 4 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”

⁹² The 8,315 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 “Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha”
- 260 comments from SCP06 “Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside”
- 1 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”

Table 2.21a: Site Selection Criteria – Economic Considerations, Location and other issues and Operational considerations and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 4.3 Economic considerations | 2873 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 67 | 2754 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 0 |
| 4.3.1 Economic concerns | 1633 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 1583 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 0 |
| 4.3.1.1 Construction cost | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.3.1.2 Maintenance cost | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.3.1.3 Stimulate the economic growth | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 4.3.1.3.1 Promote local economy of specific locations) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.3.1.4 Should lower the transportation cost of the newly developed site | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.3.1.5 Affect the economic growth of Peng Chau-Hei Ling Chau | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.3.1.5 Affect the economic growth of Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) | 1588 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1583 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.3.1.5 Affect the economic growth of Sandy Bay | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.3.2 Affect property values | 1235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 1171 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 4.3.2.1 Decrease | 1230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 45 | 1170 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 4.3.3 Should quantify the cost and benefits of all the five options | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.4 Location and other issues | 110 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 6 | 15 | 4 | 0 |
| 4.4.01 Should be located close to urban areas | 33 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.4.01.1 Should reclaim near urban areas so as to save transportation cost | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.4.02 Should be located far from housing sites | 42 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.4.03 Should be located far from landfills | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.4.04 Should be located away from urban areas | 27 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.4.05 Should reclaim far from Victoria Harbour | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 4.4.06 Should reclaim land from the Western water | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.5 Operational considerations | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.5.1 Sewage disposal problem of artificial islands | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.5.2 Should reclaim at somewhere that are relatively shallow | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.21b: Site Selection Criteria – Economic Considerations, Location and other issues and Operational considerations and SCP

| | Total Count | 13 | 08 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 27 |
|---|----------------|------|----|-----|------|----|----|-----|
| 4.3 Economic considerations | 2754 | 1049 | 2 | 116 | 1467 | 1 | 1 | 118 |
| 4.3.1 Economic concerns | 1583 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.3.1.5 Affect the economic growth of SPECIFIC location (s) | 1583 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1467 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.3.2 Affect property values | 1171 | 1049 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 118 |
| 4.3.2.1 Decrease | 1170 | 1049 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 118 |

| | Total Count | 24 |
|---|----------------|----|
| 4.4 Location and other issues | 1 | 1 |
| 4.4.02 Should be located far from housing sites | 1 | 1 |

As seen in Table 2.21a, there were 1,588 comments of concern including 1,583 comments from SCP⁹³ (Table 2.21b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about how the economic growth of Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) will be affected (e.g. “Reclamation works will discourage tourists from visiting the seafood street, which in turn affects the business of seafood restaurants, tourism and the livelihood of related workers.”).

There were 1,230 comments of concern including 1,170 comments from SCP⁹⁴ (Table 2.21b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that property values will be decreased (e.g. “Reclamation at Wu Kai Sha will ruin the natural scenery of Tolo Harbour and Pat Sin Leng that Ma On Shan District is currently enjoyed, and will devalue the properties situated along Wu Kai Sha’s coastline.”).

⁹³ The 1,583 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”

⁹⁴ The 1,170 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,049 comments from SCP13 “Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha”
- 118 comments from SCP27 “Objection to Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate”
- 2 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”

There were 110 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments about the location of the sites and other issues (e.g. “I fully support reclamation near urban area”).

Table 2.22a: Site Selection Criteria – Safety Requirements, Future Development or Sustainability, Excessive Unused Land and Others and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 4.6 Safety requirements | 2685 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 2541 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.6.1 The stability of foundations of Artificial Islands | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.6.2 Shipping cause danger, because the coast narrowed | 2661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 103 | 2541 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.6.3 Removal of construction materials will be dangerous to residents and other road users. | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.6.4 Should not affect the navigation of ships | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.7 Future development or sustainability | 74 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 14 | 0 |
| 4.7.01 Should plan a good transportation network | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 0 |
| 4.7.02 Sustainability indicators should be included as a site selection criteria | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.7.03 Should select a larger reclamation area | 32 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4.8 Excessive unused land | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.9 Others | 13667 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 383 | 13205 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 18 | 15 | 0 |
| 4.9.1 Should minimize the impact on people | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.9.2 Cultural heritage should be protected with priority | 8502 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 8442 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 4.9.3 Affect the original landscape of the destined reclamation sites | 5124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 313 | 4763 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0 |
| 4.9.4 Can get a large reclamation area | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4.9.5 Site selection depends on the proposed use of the increased land | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.9.6 Should make reference to the site selection proposals of the then Hong Kong new airport | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.9.7 Should not give up development because of environmental issues | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |

Table 2.22b: Site Selection Criteria – Safety Requirements, Future Development or Sustainability, Excessive Unused Land and Others and SCP

| | Total Count | 02 | 03 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 11 | 13 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
|---|----------------|-----|------|-----|-----|----|------|------|-----|------|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| 4.6 Safety requirements | 2541 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 847 | 766 |
| 4.6.2 Shipping cause danger, because the coast narrowed | 2541 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 847 | 766 |
| 4.9 Others | 13205 | 395 | 5884 | 260 | 128 | 8 | 1121 | 2098 | 116 | 1467 | 12 | 2 | 20 | 928 | 0 | 766 |
| 4.9.2 Cultural heritage should be protected with priority | 8442 | 0 | 5884 | 260 | 128 | 0 | 1121 | 1049 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.9.3 Affect the original landscape of the destined reclamation sites | 4763 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1049 | 116 | 1467 | 12 | 2 | 20 | 928 | 0 | 766 |

As seen in Table 2.22a, there were 8,502 comments of concern including 8,442 comments from SCP⁹⁵ (Table 2.22b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the cultural heritage should be protected with priority (e.g. “Near the beach is historic heritage attraction like the Du Tou Village and Wu Kai Sha Village. Should reclamation proceed, the area’s unique charm will be irrevocably lost.”).

There were 5,124 comments of concern including 4,763 comments from SCP⁹⁶ (Table 2.22b), 313 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that the original landscape of the destined reclamation sites will be affected (e.g. “It is not hard to conceive that Government would proceed to housing development at reclaimed land, ruining the scenery that the nearby housing estates are enjoying.”).

There were 2,661 comments of concern including 2,541 comments from SCP⁹⁷ (Table 2.22b), 103 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the danger to shipping because the coast will be narrowed (e.g. “The proposed reclaimed site is currently a large mooring area for ships and is already a narrow and heavy-traffic ‘Marine Constrained Area’. This will give rise to more

⁹⁵ The 8,442 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 5,884 comments from SCP03 “Objection to Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,121 comments from SCP11 “Sha Tin caucus of the Democratic Party: Wu Kai Sha”
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 “Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha”
- 260 comments from SCP06 “Bayshore Towers: Wu Kai Sha and Bayside”
- 128 comments from SCP07 “Bayshore Towers: Signature Campaign: Wu Kai Sha”

⁹⁶ The 4,763 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 1,467 comments from SCP20 “Bayview Terrace: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 1,049 comments from SCP13 “Villa Athena: Wu Kai Sha”
- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 395 comments from SCP02 “Green Sense”
- 116 comments from SCP19 “Spring Seaview Terrace: Tuen Mun 27”
- 20 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”
- 12 comments from SCP22 “Elegant Villa: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 8 comments from SCP08 “Bayshore Towers: Opinion Survey: Wu Kai Sha”
- 2 comments from SCP23 “Redland Garden: Tolo Harbour”

⁹⁷ The 2,541 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 766 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
-

dangerous navigation conditions as well as occupying the mooring area, in turn placing an economic risk to the marine logistic industries.”).

There were 74 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments about the future development or sustainability (e.g. “The only viable solution for Hong Kong's future land needs is to begin at least one large, aggressive reclamation project outside Victoria harbor. It must be large enough to warrant necessary infrastructure investment in transport, libraries, hospitals, etc.”).

2.7 COMMENTS ON THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT APPROACH

Table 2.23a: Comments on the Public Engagement Approach - The contents of the consultation paper mislead the public and insufficient information provided in the Public Engagement Digest and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 5.1 The contents of the consultation paper mislead the public | 816 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 73 | 663 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 32 | 11 | 0 |
| 5.1.1 Hill leveling is not mentioned in the methods of increasing land supply | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.1.2 Should conduct a comprehensive consultation rather than a selective consultation | 28 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5.1.3 Over-estimated population growth | 754 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 59 | 663 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 0 |
| 5.1.4 The questionnaire on enhancing land supply is biased | 24 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2 Insufficient information provided in the Public Engagement Digest | 3024 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 18 | 142 | 2744 | 0 | 23 | 6 | 17 | 45 | 0 |
| 5.2.01 Cost | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 |
| 5.2.1.1 Construction cost | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 5.2.1.2 Operational cost | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.1.3 Cost effectiveness | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 5.2.02 Environment | 32 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 0 |
| 5.2.2.1 Carbon emission | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.2.2 Insufficient information about the environmental problems that will be caused by reclamation | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 |
| 5.2.03 Feasibility | 21 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 5.2.3.1 Accessibility | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 5.2.3.1.1 Transportation facilities | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 5.2.3.2 Safety issues | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.3.3 Ventilation system | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.3.4 Air-conditioning system | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.04 Possible locations | 429 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 389 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.2.4.1 Insufficient information about possible sites of Reclamation outside | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Victoria Harbour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.2.4.2 Insufficient information about possible sites of Rock Cavern Development | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.4.3 The site selection criteria of the 25 listed possible reclamation sites | 402 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 389 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.4.4 The stakeholders of the 25 possible sites were not consulted before announcing the site locations | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.4.5 Insufficient information of the 25 listed possible reclamation sites | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.2.05 Dimension of the rock cavern | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.2.06 Proposed land use of the reclamation site or the land released by moving existing facilities into rock cavern | 1908 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 58 | 1811 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 0 |
| 5.2.07 The amount of lands that can be gained from each of the six land supply options respectively | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.2.08 Information concerning land supply | 25 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 5.2.8.1 The amount of underutilized lands | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.2.8.2 Land demand forecast | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.2.09 Should give the definition of land supply and land reserve clearly | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.2.10 Insufficient information about the impacts on the fishery | 9 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Table 2.23b: Comments on the Public Engagement Approach - The contents of the consultation paper mislead the public and insufficient information provided in the Public Engagement Digest and SCP

| | Total Count | 21 | 24 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 33 |
|--|----------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|
| 5.1 The contents of the consultation paper mislead the public | 663 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 543 |
| 5.1.3 Over-estimated population growth | 663 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 543 |
| 5.2 Insufficient information provided in the Public Engagement Digest | 2744 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 928 | 847 | 3 | 389 | 543 |
| 5.2.04 Possible locations | 389 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 |
| 5.2.4.3 The site selection criteria of the 25 listed possible reclamation sites | 389 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 |
| 5.2.06 Proposed land use of the reclamation site or the land released by moving existing facilities into rock cavern | 1811 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 928 | 847 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.23a, there were 1,908 comments of concern including 1,811 comments from SCP⁹⁸ (Table 2.23b), 58 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the proposed land use of the reclamation site or the land released by moving existing facilities into rock cavern (e.g. “The land use of sites has not yet determined during this site selection process.”).

There were 754 comments of concern including 663 comments from SCP⁹⁹ (Table 2.23b), 59 comments from WS and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the over-estimated population growth (e.g. “The accuracy of government’s estimation of population growth, including the estimate on number of non-local pregnant women giving birth in Hong Kong, is questionable.”).

⁹⁸ The 1,811 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 928 comments from SCP28 “Bel-Air: Lamma Island North”
- 847 comments from SCP29 “Bel-Air: Sandy Bay”
- 33 comments from SCP21 “Handford Garden: Tuen Mun Area 27”
- 2 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”
- 1 comments from SCP24 “Tai Po Environmental Association: Tolo Harbour”

⁹⁹ The 663 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:

- 543 comments from SCP33 “Peng Chau Reclamation Concern Group: Peng Chau”
- 118 comments from SCP27 “Objection to Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate”
- 2 comments from SCP30 “Objections to Sandy Bay, Lamma Island North, and Mt. Davis”

There were 402 comments of concern including 389 comments from SCP¹⁰⁰ (Table 2.23b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments about the site selection criteria of the 25 listed possible reclamation sites (e.g. “I object to the government having a generalized plan for this huge “land bank”, in particular taking what appears to be a randomly selected area such as Sandy Bay.”).

¹⁰⁰ The 389 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 389 comments from SCP31 “West Island School community: Sandy Bay”

Table 2.24a: Comments on the Public Engagement Approach - Lack of experts' advice or reporting during the process, information should be made transparent to the public, Public Engagement activities and others and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 5.3 Lack of experts' advice or reporting during the process | 1059 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1043 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.3.1 Provide information about per capita living space of other cities | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.3.2 Provide information about Hong Kong's living density | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.3.3 More studies should be undertaken regarding the impacts on marine system | 1048 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1043 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.3.4 More studies on New Territories land policy | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.3.5 Provide information about relationship between people policy and economic activities | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.3.6 Should have a review of the use of reclaimed land of past decades | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.4 Information should be made transparent to the public | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| 5.4.1 Site locations | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.4.2 Timeline | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 5.6 Public Engagement activities | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5.6.1 Should hold some public hearing activities | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.6.2 Should not focus on selection of sites | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.6.3 Should consult the teenagers | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.6.4 Should not be the responsibility of CEDD to conduct PE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7 Others | 54 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0 |
| 5.7.01 It should be the government's responsibility to decide where we should reclaim | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5.7.02 The selection criteria of the reclamation site and how they have been come up should be released for public discussion before finalization | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7.03 Should extend the first stage public engagement | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7.04 Should organize more outreach programmes or public discussions with the | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| stakeholders | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.7.05 Fake consultation, people are forced to accept | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 5.7.06 Should have further consultation in future | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7.07 Sufficient time and more details for the consultation | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

Table 2.24b: Comments on the Public Engagement Approach - Lack of experts' advice or reporting during the process, information should be made transparent to the public, Public Engagement activities and others and SCP

| | Total Count | 18 |
|--|-------------|------|
| 5.3 Lack of experts' advice or reporting during the process | 1043 | 1043 |
| 5.3.3 More studies should be undertaken regarding the impacts on marine system | 1043 | 1043 |

As seen in Table 2.24a, there were 1,048 comments of concern including 1,043 comments from SCP¹⁰¹ (Table 24b) and the remaining channels with less than fifty comments that more studies should be undertaken regarding the impacts on marine system (e.g. “How many impacts will the reclamation bring on marine ecological environment (including fishing industry in Ma Wan and water quality in the beach near Tsing Ling Tau)? Hope your department can provide more information and data.”).

There were 54 comments of concern and no channels with at least fifty comments about other issues on the Public Engagement Approach (e.g. “More outreach programmes and public discussion forums through the local community such as owners’ committees, owners’ incorporations, schools, district councils, churches, temples, and other directly affected stakeholders should be arranged.”).

¹⁰¹ The 1,043 comments are from the below Signature Campaigns / Petitions:
- 1,043 comments from SCP18 “Hongkong Garden: Tsing Lung Tau”

2.8 OTHER COMMENTS

Table 2.25: Other Comments and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 6.01 Should not establish land bank | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.02 Should have better urban management | 30 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| 6.02.01 Separate commercial or industrial areas with living areas | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.02.02 Should maximize the volume of landfills rather than building more landfill sites | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.02.03 Should consider Mega Tower for urban development | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.03 Should develop the undeveloped area | 129 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 28 | 28 | 0 |
| 6.04 Natural environment or living quality should not be compromised or sacrificed | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.05 Invite professionals from different sectors or industries to help the planning of land supply strategy | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.05.1 Make regular review of the land demand estimate | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.05.2 Urban designer should be involved | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.06 Agricultural land should not be considered as a land bank for development | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.07 Develop underground spaces | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| 6.08 New quarries need to be developed to maintain local supply of rock products | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.09 Should establish a statutory body to oversee the implementation of land supply strategy | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.10 Use quantifiable indicators to project land demand | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.11 No further reclamation in Tseung Kwan O according to a study which completed in 2005 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.12 Should develop the border district | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 0 |
| 6.13 Develop underground shopping malls | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 6.14 Gravels from rock cavern development can be used for reclamation purposes | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 6.15 Gravels from rock cavern development can be used for concrete building materials | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 6.16 Should reduce carbon emission | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 6.17 Reclamation does not help to lower the property prices | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.18 Reclamation cannot prevent the construction of wall buildings | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.19 There are many ways to develop Hong Kong's economy; should not only focus on increasing land supply only | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.25, there were 129 comments and no channels with at least fifty comments that the undeveloped area should be developed (e.g. “Moreover, vast areas of land are undeveloped in the New Territories. The government should be looking to re-use existing structures and land to provide housing to the growing Hong Kong population rather than to reclaim land from coastal areas which can lead to irreversible damage to the ecology and environment.”).

2.9 UNCLASSIFIED COMMENTS

Table 2.26: Unclassified Comments and all channels

| | Total count | FG | TD | PF | LC | ES | WS | SCP | OS | OF | CS | FQ | N | OC |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 7.01 High-density living is more environmentally friendly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.02 New towns should be developed in areas that have no impact on existing local community | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.03 Transporting construction waste to places outside HK will increase carbon footprint | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 7.04 Establish Centralized Geospatial Information Record to keep all as-built record | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

As seen in Table 2.26, there were no other issues with at least fifty comments.

CHAPTER THREE CONCLUSION

A total of 31,881 comments were received by 31 March 2012, when the Stage 1 public engagement process ended. These comments were received through thirteen channels as summarized below:

1. Focus Group (FG): 3 Focus Groups conducted by A-World Consulting and held on 15th, 22nd and 29th November 2011. The analysis of this channel is based on the summaries given by A-World Consulting which indicated whether an issue was mentioned, regardless of the number of times it was mentioned..
2. Topical Discussion (TD): 4 Topical Discussions held on 3rd December 2011, 10th December 2011, 7th January 2012 and 14th January 2012. The analysis of this channel is based on the summaries given by A-World Consulting which indicated whether an issue was mentioned, regardless of the number of times it was mentioned.
3. Public Forum (PF): 3 Public Forums held on 4th February 2012, 11th February 2012 and 18th February 2012. The analysis of this channel is based on the summaries given by A-World Consulting which indicated whether an issue was mentioned, regardless of the number of times it was mentioned.
4. Legislative Council (LC): 46 written submissions were made to the Legislative Council for Special Meeting of Panel on Development held on 10th March 2012.
5. Event Summary (ES): 54 meetings or briefings with the District Council, groups, institutes, residents, political parties and alliances.
6. Written submission (WS): 2,376 written submissions including 106 letters received from students in Ma On Shan Methodist Primary and 635 letters received from students in Kennedy School either by soft or hard copies. All written submissions were sent by letters, fax or email to the Government with personal or association identification.
7. Signature Campaign/Petition (SCP): 25,769 signatures, petition letters and emails received from 33 signature campaigns or petitions.
8. Opinion Survey (OS): 3 opinion surveys were included:
 - Residential survey conducted by The Incorporated Owners of Mountain Shore
 - Survey conducted in Tai Po District by Dr. Lau Chee Sing, DC Member
 - Survey presented by Tai Po Environmental Association

The survey results were included as single submissions as the participants were anonymous so that verification of the participants was not possible. They are coded on the basis of any view expressed by a simple majority (more than 50%).

9. On-line Forum (OF): 1,826 valid posts / comments from the official website “Enhancing Land Supply Strategy”

10. Comment Sheet (CS): 388 comment sheets received from the participants of public forums and other engagement events
11. Feedback Questionnaire (FQ): 973 written comments received from on-line feedback questionnaires (909) and paper feedback questionnaires (64), which provided space for the respondents to submit written comments in addition to completing the feedback questionnaire.
12. Printed Media (N): 432 news articles, 27 columns and 9 editorials summaries from 24 newspapers and magazines.
13. Other Channels (OC): 4 summaries transferred from government hotline 1823 which had received verbal submissions from the public.

Analysis of Feedback

The feedback was analyzed using qualitative methods and the framework is in Appendix S. It was developed by the SSRC to reflect all the issues covered in the consultation document, and then extended to cover all the other issues raised in the qualitative materials collected during the exercise.

Comments submitted by different people are counted multiple times, even if the comments were identical, regardless of the channel of submission, on the grounds that this reflects the number of people or organizations who wish to make that specific comment. No distinction is made between people and organizations, as it is often unclear whether a comment represents a personal or institutional perspective.

As individual identities were not cross referenced across channels, comments submitted through multiple channels are counted separately through each channel.

Attitudes towards the Enhancing Land Supply Strategy

There were 155 comments that supported increasing land supply through rock cavern development, while 23 comments opposed this option.

There were 1,179 comments against increasing land supply through reclamation outside Victoria Harbour, while 530 comments supported this option. For the 25 possible reclamation sites that had been introduced by the government, there were 28,090 comments in total against increasing land supply through reclamation at some of the specific locations, while 273 comments in total supported increasing land supply through reclamation at some of the specific locations.

There were many comments opposed to increasing land supply through reclamation at Wu Kai Sha (14,723 comments), Sandy Bay (5,232 comments), Tseung Kwan O East (C13) (2,354 comments), Tseung Kwan O Area 131 (2,229 comments), Lamma North (1,868 comments), Tsing Lung Tau (1,732 comments), Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) (1,718 comments), Shuen Wan (630 comments), Tai Po Industrial Estate (615 comments), Tai Po Kau (614 comments) and Peng Chau-Hei Ling Chau (607 comments), while comments that supported increasing land supply through reclamation at the 25 possible reclamation sites individually ranged from one to 74.

Land Supply in Hong Kong

There were 2,659 comments that Hong Kong should fully utilize existing inefficiently used land rather than reclamation, 1,265 comments that the population policy should be improved, and 426 comments that Hong Kong should continue to require more land supply to meet future demand in various aspects, and 413 comments that the land policy should be made in accordance with population policy.

Reclamation

There were many comments concerned about how reclamation will damage the natural environment (8,082 comments), how reclamation will affect Hong Kong's general image (7,355 comments), reclamation is a destructive way to increase land supply (2,349 comments), the oceans should not be treated as a place for dumping waste (1,817 comments) and about the impact on the biodiversity and ecosystem (1,312 comments).

There were many comments that were against increasing land supply through reclamation at the following possible reclamation sites:

- At Wu Kai Sha because of the concerns that the reclamation will cause damage to beaches (9,026 comments), destroy the natural or the existing shoreline (1,393 comments) and affect the marine ecology (393 comments).
- At Sandy Bay because of concerns about destruction of the natural or the existing shoreline (2,962 comments), affecting the marine ecology (1,034 comments) and the water quality (891 comments).
- At Tseung Kwan O Area 131 because of concerns about destruction of the natural or the existing shoreline (2,218 comments) and impact on the seashore ecology (2,212 comments).
- At Tseung Kwan O East (C13) because of concerns about destruction of the natural or the existing shoreline (2,216 comments) and the impact on the seashore ecology (2,212 comments).
- At Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) because of concerns that the water quality will be affected (1,486 comments).
- At Lamma North because of the concerns that the water quality will be affected (940 comments).

As regards economic concerns, there were 1,808 comments of concerns about the unknown cost effectiveness and 1,679 comments of concerns about the high construction cost and 396 comments of concerns that reclamation only favours property developers or final beneficiaries of reclamation are property developers.

Increasing land supply through rock cavern development

There were 152 comments containing various suggestions on how to use the Rock Caverns.

There were about 64 comments of concern about the feasibility of the Rock Caverns.

There were 861 comments that objected to the use of Mount Davis for Rock Cavern Development.

Site Selection Criteria

As regards social considerations, there were many comments of concerns about the impact on local communities, including the impact on the residents' living quality (16,038 comments), affecting local people's health due to pollutions from the reclaimed fill or construction material (2,345 comments) and impact on Feng Shui (814 comments). There were also many comments of concern about how it will affect the urban planning or facilities of specific districts (15,069 comments in total) such as Wu Kai Sha (10,106 comments), Tseung Kwan O East (2,214 comments), Tseung Kwan O Area 131 (2,212 comments), Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) (1,637 comments) and Sandy Bay (1,016 comments).

Furthermore, there were many comments of concern about the additional pressure on the transportation network in specific districts (15,948 comments in total) such as Wu Kai Sha (8,325 comments), Sandy Bay (2,696 comments), Tseung Kwan O East (2,212 comments), Tseung Kwan O Area 131 (2,211 comments), Lamma North (1,808 comments) and Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) (1,645 comments).

As regards environment considerations, there were many comments of concern about the higher level of air pollution (14,843 comments), higher level of noise pollution (13,179 comments), damage to the natural environment (9,319 comments), and suggested that the reclamation should not be carried out somewhere close to mangrove (8,348 comments).

As regards economic considerations, there are 1,588 comments of concern that economic growth of Tuen Mun Area 27 (Sam Shing) will be affected and 1,230 comments of concern that property values will be decreased.

Other comments concerned that the cultural heritage should be protected with priority (8,502 comments), the original landscape of the designated reclamation sites will be affected (5,124 comments), and increased danger to shipping because the coast will be narrowed (2,661 comments).

Comments on the Public Engagement Approach

There were 1,908 comments of concern about the insufficient information of proposed land use of the reclamation site or the land released by moving existing facilities into rock cavern, and 402 comments that insufficient information of the site selection criteria of the 25 listed possible reclamation sites were provided in the Public Engagement Digest. Besides, there were 1,048 comments that more studies should be undertaken regarding the impacts on marine system and 754 comments of concern about the over-estimated population growth.

Conclusion for Qualitative Feedback

There were many comments that supported increasing land supply through rock cavern development, while a few comments opposed this option.

There were many comments that objected to the use of Mount Davis for rock cavern development and some comments of concern about the feasibility of the rock caverns and suggestions about how to use the rock caverns.

There were many comments against increasing land supply through reclamation outside Victoria Harbour, while a smaller but significant number of comments supported this option. For the 25 possible reclamation sites that had been introduced by the government, there were many comments against increasing land supply through reclamation at the specific locations, while a relatively small number of comments supported increasing land supply through reclamation at the specific locations.

There were many comments that Hong Kong should fully utilize existing inefficiently used land rather than reclamation, that the population policy should be improved, that Hong Kong should continue to require more land supply to meet future demand in various aspects, and that the land policy should be made in accordance with population policy.

There were many comments concerned about how reclamation will damage the natural environment, will affect Hong Kong's general image, is a destructive way to increase land supply, the oceans should not be treated as a place for dumping waste and the impact on the biodiversity and ecosystem. There were also many comments concerned about how reclamation will affect some of the specific reclamation sites including damage to beaches and the shoreline and impact on the marine ecology and the water quality.

Furthermore, there were many comments of concern about the unknown cost effectiveness and the high construction cost of reclamation.

For the site selection criteria, the primary concerns expressed are the impacts on local community and damage to the natural environment. As regards social considerations, there were many comments of concerns about the impact of reclamation on local communities, including the impact on the residents' living quality, affecting people's health due to pollutions from the reclaimed fill or construction material and impact on Feng Shui. There were also many comments of concern about the additional pressure on the transportation network in specific districts and how it will affect the urban planning or facilities of specific districts.

As regards environmental considerations, there were many comments of concerns about air pollution, noise pollution, damage to the natural environment, and suggested that the reclamation should not be carried out somewhere close to mangrove.

Other considerations included that the cultural heritage should be protected with priority, the original landscape of the designated reclamation sites will be affected, the danger to shipping because the coast will be narrowed and property values will be decreased.

There were many comments of concern about the insufficient information about proposed land use of the reclamation site and the land released by moving existing facilities into rock cavern, that more studies should be undertaken regarding the impacts on marine system, concern about the over-estimated population growth and about

insufficient information regarding the site selection criteria of the 25 listed possible reclamation sites.

In summary, there is consensus support for increasing land supply through rock cavern development with the exception of the possible Mount Davis site.

As regards increasing land supply through reclamation outside Victoria harbour, strong opposition was expressed, especially as regards some of the 25 listed possible reclamation sites.

For the site selection criteria, the primary concerns expressed are the impacts on local community, including living quality and health, and for specific sites, urban planning and facilities, transportation network; air and noise pollution, damage to the natural environment and protection of cultural heritage.