
An Exploration of the State of Social Cohesion in Hong Kong

Joseph Chan & Elaine Chan

28 Nov 2003

Meaning of Social Cohesion

- Best understood from a commonsensical approach
- “Cohesion” — “a tendency to stick together”
- “Social Cohesion”—members of a society sticking together

Meaning of Social Cohesion

- “Sticking together”
 - Trust
 - Willingness to Cooperate and help
 - Sense of Belonging

Defining Social Cohesion

- Social cohesion is a state of affairs concerning both the vertical and the horizontal interactions among members of society as characterized by a set of attitudes and norms that includes *trust*, a *sense of belonging* and the *willingness to participate and help*, as well as their behavioral manifestations.

Measuring Social Cohesion

- A 2X2 framework – two dimensions and two components
 - **Vertical** dimension = government-society relationship
 - **Horizontal** dimension = interaction within society
 - **Subjective** component = attitudes, opinions
 - **Objective** component = behavior that reflects the corresponding subjective feelings above

Measuring Social Cohesion

	<i>Subjective component</i>	<i>Objective component</i>
<i>Horizontal dimension</i>	A	B
<i>Vertical dimension</i>	C	D

Measuring Social Cohesion

- Items from cell A include:
 - General trust with fellow members of society
 - Willingness to cooperate and trust members of different social groups
 - Sense of belonging

Measuring Social Cohesion

- Items from Cell B include:
 - Social engagement in intermediate groups
 - Helping friends and neighbors
 - Voluntarism and donations

Measuring Social Cohesion

- Items from Cell C include:
 - Trust in public figures
 - Confidence in major political and social institutions

Measuring Social Cohesion

- Items from Cell D include:
 - Political concern (e.g. reading newspapers or listening to the news report)
 - Political participation (voting)

Data Collection

- Door-to-door questionnaire survey
- Duration: Mid August to mid October
- Completed interviews: 1054
- Response rates: 71%
- Conducted by Policy 21 of HKU

Key Findings

■ Community

- Considerable commitment to society
- A moderately low level of general trust
- A low level of social engagement

Findings: Community

- ❑ Economic background not a basis for trust in/cooperation with specific groups
- ❑ Tend not to cooperate with Indians/Pakistanis and homosexuals
- ❑ Tend not to trust Indians/Pakistanis, people having different political ideologies, and homosexuals

Findings: Community

- Relatively low level of social engagement in terms of
 - Membership in intermediate organizations
 - Donation
 - Volunteering
 - Helping friends and neighbors

Findings: Community

- Pattern: The younger, more educated and higher income groups show higher levels of social engagement

Key Findings

■ Politics

- Attentive but lacking confidence in political institutions

Findings: Politics

- Concerned with public affairs
- More than one-third reported to have voted in every single LegCo and District Board/Council elections

Findings: Politics

- Lack confidence in political institutions, particularly the CE and his cabinet
- Confidence in enforcers and safeguard of law and order (ICAC, the Ombudsman, the police, and the judiciary) is higher than that of political institutions
- Alarm: confidence in the judiciary is lowest among the enforcers/safeguard of law and order

Findings: Politics

- Pattern: The younger, more educated, and higher income groups are more suspicious of political institutions

Key Findings: Perceptions

- Perception of **groups/individuals** as *undermining* social cohesion in descending order:
 - The HK Government (policies, style of governance, CE, ministers)
 - Pro-China groups
 - Pro-Democracy groups and Business groups

Key Findings: Perceptions

- Perception of **groups/individuals** as *strengthening* social cohesion in descending order:
 - The police
 - Academics
 - Professional associations
 - Religious groups
 - Labor unions

Key Findings: Perceptions

- Perception of **forces** as *undermining* social cohesion (in descending order):
 - **Economic issues**
 - Unemployment
 - Negative equity
 - Youth unemployment
 - Polarization between rich and poor

Key Findings: Perceptions

- Perception of **forces** as *undermining* social cohesion (in descending order):
 - **Political conflicts**
 - Government v. citizens
 - Pro-democracy groups v. Pro-China groups

Key Findings: Perceptions

- Perception of **forces** as *undermining* social cohesion (in descending order):
 - **Class issues**
 - Capitalists v. labor
 - Taxpayers v. CSSA recipients

Key Findings

- Perceived **vertical** cohesion worse than **horizontal** cohesion:

4.44 vs. **6.14**

(Scale 1-0, 5.5 or above counts towards cohesion)

Overall Conclusion

■ **Glue:**

- ❑ Considerable commitment to society
- ❑ Considerable confidence in systems of justice administration

■ **Weakness:**

- ❑ Political leaders, political parties and intermediate groups failed to integrate members of society

Overall Conclusion

■ **Concerns:**

- ❑ Societal issues/conflicts most damaging to social cohesion: **unemployment**
- ❑ Most damaging group: **the Hong Kong government**

Overall Conclusion

■ Concerns:

- Given the failure of political institutions and a moderately low level of general trust, independent enforcers and safeguard of law and order become critical to maintaining social cohesion
- **Alarm**: public confidence in the judiciary is the lowest among law-and-order enforcers/safeguard

Overall Conclusion

■ **Concerns:**

- **Alarm:** Society tends to be less willing to trust and cooperate with
 - Indians/Pakistanis
 - People with different political ideologies
 - Homosexuals